



BRIDGE IN PARK COUNTY



ROADWAY IN PARK COUNTY



CENTRAL AVENUE IN CODY



BEACON HILL ROAD IN CODY



STAMPEDE AVENUE IN CODY



ABSAROKA STREET IN POWELL



AVENUE B IN POWELL



WASTEWATER LAGOON IN MEETEETSE



WARREN STREET IN MEETEETSE

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY

Park County • City of Cody • City of Powell • Town of Meeteetse

MARCH 2016

## Where do we go from here?

In November 2012, almost four years ago, Park County voters turned down a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax (often referred to as the 1% *General Purpose Tax*, 1% *Optional Tax* or 5th *Cent Tax*). Proceeds of the 1% General Purpose Tax would have gone toward road and street improvements, water and sewer projects, bridge improvements and other infrastructure projects throughout Park County.

The infrastructure projects that would have been funded by the 1% General Purpose Tax have not gone away. In fact, the cost of many of these projects has increased. And to make things more challenging, the State of Wyoming has reduced funding to Wyoming counties and municipalities.

Given the need to address high priority infrastructure projects and ongoing infrastructure maintenance—coupled with the State's recent funding cuts—Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse are considering returning to the ballot with a 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. However, no action will be taken until you—our taxpayers—are given the opportunity to provide your thoughts and recommendations.

Following are the types of questions we'd like to get your input on as we evaluate how best to fund our highest priority infrastructure needs:

- What are your concerns, if any, regarding a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax?
- In your opinion, are there projects that should—or should not—be funded with this type of tax?
- Would you prefer to see a 1% Specific Purpose Tax on the ballot to address infrastructure needs rather than a 1% General Purpose Tax?

Before completing the attached survey, please take a few minutes to review the enclosed "Just The Facts" sheet, which provides additional information regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax and proposed use of proceeds.

Nothing is set in stone regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal until we gather additional community feedback. We sincerely mean that. We do not want to pursue another tax proposal if there is not going to be strong county-wide support from our residents.

**You have the final word. Always.**

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY

Thank you for participating in this important Public Opinion Survey. The results of this survey will play an important role in whether or not a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax is pursued this fall and the composition of that proposal, if pursued. Please review the following questions, review with other members of your household, and complete the survey. A self-addressed, postage-paid envelope is enclosed for your convenience. All responses are anonymous. The results will be independently tallied and reported by a third-party firm. Please complete and return the survey by April 22, 2016. All surveys must be returned in the postage-paid reply envelope provided. Thank you for participating.

## PLEASE REVIEW "JUST THE FACTS" DOCUMENT BEFORE COMPLETING SURVEY

**Q1.** Before receiving the enclosed information, how much had you read, seen or heard about Park County considering placing a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax on the November 2016 ballot? (Please check one)

- A lot  
 Some  
 Hardly anything  
 Nothing at all

**Q2.** Many residents are not familiar with the ins and outs of the 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax, including how the tax is calculated, the types of purchases that are exempt and the percentage of revenues that go back to local governments. Before receiving the enclosed information, how familiar were you with the details of this tax? (Please check one)

- A lot  
 Some  
 A Little  
 Nothing at all

**Q3.** There are a number of projects that the proposed 1% General Purpose Tax would address (as further detailed in the enclosed Fact Sheet). On a scale of 1 to 5, what priority should be placed on funding each of the following projects with a 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

	Low Priority	←————→			High Priority
a) Repairing Park County's bridges that are in the greatest need of improvements.	1	2	3	4	5
b) Repairing or replacing roadways in Park County to extend their useful life and enhance vehicle safety.	1	2	3	4	5
c) Constructing a water storage tank off of Beacon Hill in Cody to improve fire protection, water storage and water system maintenance.	1	2	3	4	5
d) Upgrading Cody's wastewater lagoon system, parts of the existing storm drainage system, and irrigation system improvements.	1	2	3	4	5
e) Completing ADA ramp projects throughout Cody to improve accessibility for persons with disabilities.	1	2	3	4	5
f) Reconstructing Beacon Hill Road and a section of Sheridan Avenue, as well as 29th Street curb, gutter and sidewalk improvements in Cody.	1	2	3	4	5
g) Improvements in Powell along Absaroka Street (from Third to Seventh), including rebuilding the water line; street widening; storm drains; curb, gutter and sidewalks; trees; and ADA projects.	1	2	3	4	5
h) Storm drainage improvements along Division Street in Powell—from Avenue E to Seventh Street—including ADA ramps at corners, as well as improvements to Grand Street (overlay), widening of A, B, C and D avenues, and repaving of Seventh Street (from Bernard to Division).	1	2	3	4	5
i) Water, sewer, street and solid waste management projects in Meeteetse, including 65-year-old water distribution line replacement along Hwy 290, water treatment plant and tank improvements, fire hydrant upgrades, pump station rehabilitation, storm drain and street chip sealing.	1	2	3	4	5

**Q4.** Some residents would like to see a 1% General Purpose Tax fund non-infrastructure projects. On a scale of 1 to 5, what priority should be placed on funding each of the following projects with a 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

	Low Priority	←————→			High Priority
a) Recreation-related projects like bike paths, ball fields, horse trails and skate parks.	1	2	3	4	5
b) Funding for community groups and organizations like senior citizen centers, food banks and Boys and Girls Clubs.	1	2	3	4	5
c) Endowments to go toward operating costs of swimming pools, recreation centers and similar facilities.	1	2	3	4	5

**Q5.** Following are some arguments people have made against Park County's 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All Convincing" and 5 being "Very Convincing", how would you rate each of the following arguments as a reason to vote AGAINST Park County's proposed 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

- |  | Not At All<br>Convincing | ←————→ |   |   | Very<br>Convincing |
|--|--------------------------|--------|---|---|--------------------|
| a) The State already has in place a 4% sales tax. Adding another 1% sales and use tax is a 25% increase. That's too much of a tax burden.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| b) With the oil and gas industry struggling statewide, now is not the time to be increasing taxes.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| c) Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse should be setting aside existing tax dollars to tackle large infrastructure projects, rather than raising taxes.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| d) Local retailers could be negatively impacted from a 1% sales and use tax increase as a result of fewer purchases being made within Park County.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| e) The 1% General Purpose Tax will cost the average household in Park County an additional \$80 per year. That amount of a tax increase will likely be a hardship for many low-income families and seniors on a fixed income.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| f) While voters in Park County would have the opportunity to vote on the 1% General Purpose Tax after it has been in place for four years, it's unlikely that it will be voted down once it's in place. Voters in other counties keep voting the tax in every four years.                          | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| g) It makes more sense for Park County to pursue a 1% Specific Purpose Tax rather than a 1% General Purpose Tax. The 1% Specific Purpose Tax goes away once the specific projects are fully funded. (Refer to Fact Sheet for additional details regarding the difference between these two taxes.) | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |

**Q6.** Following are some arguments people have made in favor of Park County's 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All Convincing" and 5 being "Very Convincing", how would you rate each of the following arguments as a reason to vote IN FAVOR of Park County's proposed 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

- |  | Not At All<br>Convincing | ←————→ |   |   | Very<br>Convincing |
|--|--------------------------|--------|---|---|--------------------|
| a) With the State of Wyoming cutting funding to Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse, revenues from a 1% General Purpose Tax are more important than ever to address high priority street, bridge, water, sewer and other infrastructure projects.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| b) Unlike the existing 4% Sales & Use Tax, in which local governments get to keep only 30% of the tax revenues, local governments get to keep 99% of the 1% General Purpose Tax revenues. This is an important reason why 21 of 23 counties in Wyoming have approved the 1% General Purpose Tax.     | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| c) The 1% General Purpose Tax would have a four-year renewal election in Park County. The tax would have to be approved by voters every four years for it to continue.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| d) Revenues from a voter-approved 1% General Purpose Tax would be distributed based on size of population. For example, 43% of the tax revenues would go to Park County, 34% to Cody, 22% to Powell and 1% to Meeteetse.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| e) There are 49 exemptions from the 1% General Purpose Tax, according to State Statute 39-15-105, including real estate purchases, food, fuel, fertilizer, livestock, feed and farm equipment.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| f) Revenue from a voter-approved 1% General Purpose Tax would include sales tax generated inside Yellowstone National Park. In fact, the Wyoming Department of Revenue estimates that about 30% of the 1% General Purpose Tax in Park County would be paid by travelers from outside Park County.    | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| g) For a \$10 purchase, the tax is an additional 10 cents. For a \$100 purchase, the tax is an additional \$1.00. Many residents consider this a reasonable amount to pay to protect critical infrastructure, property values and our ability to attract and retain local businesses in Park County. | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY *continued from inside*

**Q7.** If an election were held today, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax within Park County for infrastructure and infrastructure maintenance including, but not limited to, roads, streets, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers, bridges, water, sewer and electrical projects. **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes  
 Probably Yes  
 Probably No  
 Definitely No  
 Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q8.** What is your main concern, if any, regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal?

None

**Q9.** Rather than a full one (1) percent sales and use tax, if Park County pursued a ½ of 1 percent General Purpose Sales & Use Tax and consequently reduced the number of infrastructure projects that it addressed, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) this proposal? **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes  
 Probably Yes  
 Probably No  
 Definitely No  
 Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q10.** If Park County pursued a 1% "Specific Purpose" Sales & Use Tax—rather than a 1% "General Purpose" Sales & Use Tax—to fund infrastructure and infrastructure maintenance including, but not limited to, roads, streets, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers, bridges, water, sewer and electrical projects, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) this proposal? (Refer to Fact Sheet for additional details regarding the difference between these two taxes.) **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes  
 Probably Yes  
 Probably No  
 Definitely No  
 Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q11.** What more would you like to know, or what question(s) would you like answered, about the proposed 1% General Purpose Tax?

**Q12.** What other community improvement projects, if any, should be included as part of the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal if pursued this fall?

**Q13.** How long have you been a resident in the area? **(Please check one)**

- 0-5 Years  
 6-10 Years  
 11-20 Years  
 More Than 20 Years

**Q14.** What is your zip code? 82440

**Q15.** Do you live in town or out of town? **(Please check one)**

- In Town (*Inside the Incorporated Municipality*)  
 Out of Town (*Outside the Incorporated Municipality*)  
 Don't Know / Not Sure

**Q16.** What is your age? **(Please check one)**

- 18-24 Years  
 25-34 Years  
 35-44 Years  
 45-54  
 55-64  
 Age 65 or Older

**Thank you for participating!**

**If you have questions about this survey, please contact:**

**City of Cody**  
Mayor & Council  
1338 Rumsey, PO Box 2200  
Cody, WY 82414-3713  
Phone: (307) 527-7511  
Fax: (307) 527-6532  
mayor@cityofcody.com  
www.cityofcody-wy.gov

**City of Powell**  
Mayor & Council  
270 N Clark St.  
Powell, Wyoming 82435  
Phone: (307) 754-5106  
admin@cityofpowell.com  
www.cityofpowell.com

**Town of Meeteetse**  
Mayor & Council  
2044 State Street, PO Box 38  
Meeteetse, Wyoming 82433  
Phone: 307-868-2278  
Fax: 307-868-2608  
meeteetse@tctwest.net  
www.townofmeeteetse.org

**Park County Commissioners**  
Park County Courthouse  
1002 Sheridan Ave.  
Cody, WY 82414  
Toll Free: 1(800)786-2844 ext 8510  
Fax: (307) 527-8515  
sromero@parkcounty.us  
www.parkcounty.us



BRIDGE IN PARK COUNTY



ROADWAY IN PARK COUNTY



CENTRAL AVENUE IN CODY



BEACON HILL ROAD IN CODY



STAMPEDE AVENUE IN CODY



ABSAROKA STREET IN POWELL



AVENUE B IN POWELL



WASTEWATER LAGOON IN MEETEETSE



WARREN STREET IN MEETEETSE

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY

Park County • City of Cody • City of Powell • Town of Meeteetse

MARCH 2016

## Where do we go from here?

In November 2012, almost four years ago, Park County voters turned down a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax (often referred to as the 1% General Purpose Tax, 1% Optional Tax or 5th Cent Tax). Proceeds of the 1% General Purpose Tax would have gone toward road and street improvements, water and sewer projects, bridge improvements and other infrastructure projects throughout Park County.

The infrastructure projects that would have been funded by the 1% General Purpose Tax have not gone away. In fact, the cost of many of these projects has increased. And to make things more challenging, the State of Wyoming has reduced funding to Wyoming counties and municipalities.

Given the need to address high priority infrastructure projects and ongoing infrastructure maintenance—coupled with the State's recent funding cuts—Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse are considering returning to the ballot with a 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. However, no action will be taken until you—our taxpayers—are given the opportunity to provide your thoughts and recommendations.

Following are the types of questions we'd like to get your input on as we evaluate how best to fund our highest priority infrastructure needs:

- What are your concerns, if any, regarding a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax?
- In your opinion, are there projects that should—or should not—be funded with this type of tax?
- Would you prefer to see a 1% Specific Purpose Tax on the ballot to address infrastructure needs rather than a 1% General Purpose Tax?

Before completing the attached survey, please take a few minutes to review the enclosed "Just The Facts" sheet, which provides additional information regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax and proposed use of proceeds.

Nothing is set in stone regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal until we gather additional community feedback. We sincerely mean that. We do not want to pursue another tax proposal if there is not going to be strong county-wide support from our residents.

**You have the final word. Always.**

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY

**Thank you for participating in this important Public Opinion Survey.** The results of this survey will play an important role in whether or not a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax is pursued this fall and the composition of that proposal, if pursued. Please review the following questions, review with other members of your household, and complete the survey. A self-addressed, postage-paid envelope is enclosed for your convenience. **All responses are anonymous. The results will be independently tallied and reported by a third-party firm. Please complete and return the survey by April 22, 2016. All surveys must be returned in the postage-paid reply envelope provided. Thank you for participating.**

## PLEASE REVIEW "JUST THE FACTS" DOCUMENT BEFORE COMPLETING SURVEY

**Q1.** Before receiving the enclosed information, how much had you read, seen or heard about Park County considering placing a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax on the November 2016 ballot? **(Please check one)**

- A lot  
 Some  
 Hardly anything  
 Nothing at all

**Q2.** Many residents are not familiar with the ins and outs of the 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax, including how the tax is calculated, the types of purchases that are exempt and the percentage of revenues that go back to local governments. Before receiving the enclosed information, how familiar were you with the details of this tax? **(Please check one)**

- A lot  
 Some  
 A Little  
 Nothing at all

**Q3.** There are a number of projects that the proposed 1% General Purpose Tax would address (as further detailed in the enclosed Fact Sheet). On a scale of 1 to 5, what priority should be placed on funding each of the following projects with a 1% General Purpose Tax? **(Please circle one number next to each item):**

	Low Priority	←	→	High Priority
a) Repairing Park County's bridges that are in the greatest need of improvements.	1			5
b) Repairing or replacing roadways in Park County to extend their useful life and enhance vehicle safety.	1			5
c) Constructing a water storage tank off of Beacon Hill in Cody to improve fire protection, water storage and water system maintenance.	1			5
d) Upgrading Cody's wastewater lagoon system, parts of the existing storm drainage system, and irrigation system improvements.	1			5
e) Completing ADA ramp projects throughout Cody to improve accessibility for persons with disabilities.	1			5
f) Reconstructing Beacon Hill Road and a section of Sheridan Avenue, as well as 29th Street curb, gutter and sidewalk improvements in Cody.	1			5
g) Improvements in Powell along Absaroka Street (from Third to Seventh), including rebuilding the water line; street widening; storm drains; curb, gutter and sidewalks; trees; and ADA projects.	1			5
h) Storm drainage improvements along Division Street in Powell—from Avenue E to Seventh Street—including ADA ramps at corners, as well as improvements to Grand Street (overlay), widening of A, B, C and D avenues, and repaving of Seventh Street (from Bernard to Division).	1			5
i) Water, sewer, street and solid waste management projects in Meeteetse, including 65-year-old water distribution line replacement along Hwy 290, water treatment plant and tank improvements, fire hydrant upgrades, pump station rehabilitation, storm drain and street chip sealing.	1			5

**Q4.** Some residents would like to see a 1% General Purpose Tax fund non-infrastructure projects. On a scale of 1 to 5, what priority should be placed on funding each of the following projects with a 1% General Purpose Tax? **(Please circle one number next to each item):**

	Low Priority	←	→	High Priority
a) Recreation-related projects like bike paths, ball fields, horse trails and skate parks.	1			5
b) Funding for community groups and organizations like senior citizen centers, food banks and Boys and Girls Clubs.	1			5
c) Endowments to go toward operating costs of swimming pools, recreation centers and similar facilities.	1			5

**Q5.** Following are some arguments people have made against Park County's 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All Convincing" and 5 being "Very Convincing", how would you rate each of the following arguments as a reason to vote AGAINST Park County's proposed 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

	Not At All Convincing	←————→			Very Convincing
	1	2	3	4	5
a) The State already has in place a 4% sales tax. Adding another 1% sales and use tax is a 25% increase. That's too much of a tax burden.	1	2	3	4	5
b) With the oil and gas industry struggling statewide, now is not the time to be increasing taxes.	1	2	3	4	5
c) Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse should be setting aside existing tax dollars to tackle large infrastructure projects, rather than raising taxes.	1	2	3	4	5
d) Local retailers could be negatively impacted from a 1% sales and use tax increase as a result of fewer purchases being made within Park County.	1	2	3	4	5
e) The 1% General Purpose Tax will cost the average household in Park County an additional \$80 per year. That amount of a tax increase will likely be a hardship for many low-income families and seniors on a fixed income.	1	2	3	4	5
f) While voters in Park County would have the opportunity to vote on the 1% General Purpose Tax after it has been in place for four years, it's unlikely that it will be voted down once it's in place. Voters in other counties keep voting the tax in every four years.	1	2	3	4	5
g) It makes more sense for Park County to pursue a 1% Specific Purpose Tax rather than a 1% General Purpose Tax. The 1% Specific Purpose Tax goes away once the specific projects are fully funded. (Refer to Fact Sheet for additional details regarding the difference between these two taxes.)	1	2	3	4	5

**Q6.** Following are some arguments people have made in favor of Park County's 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All Convincing" and 5 being "Very Convincing", how would you rate each of the following arguments as a reason to vote IN FAVOR of Park County's proposed 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

	Not At All Convincing	←————→			Very Convincing
	1	2	3	4	5
a) With the State of Wyoming cutting funding to Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse, revenues from a 1% General Purpose Tax are more important than ever to address high priority street, bridge, water, sewer and other infrastructure projects.	1	2	3	4	5
b) Unlike the existing 4% Sales & Use Tax, in which local governments get to keep only 30% of the tax revenues, local governments get to keep 99% of the 1% General Purpose Tax revenues. This is an important reason why 21 of 23 counties in Wyoming have approved the 1% General Purpose Tax.	1	2	3	4	5
c) The 1% General Purpose Tax would have a four-year renewal election in Park County. The tax would have to be approved by voters every four years for it to continue.	1	2	3	4	5
d) Revenues from a voter-approved 1% General Purpose Tax would be distributed based on size of population. For example, 43% of the tax revenues would go to Park County, 34% to Cody, 22% to Powell and 1% to Meeteetse.	1	2	3	4	5
e) There are 49 exemptions from the 1% General Purpose Tax, according to State Statute 39-15-105, including real estate purchases, food, fuel, fertilizer, livestock, feed and farm equipment.	1	2	3	4	5
f) Revenue from a voter-approved 1% General Purpose Tax would include sales tax generated inside Yellowstone National Park. In fact, the Wyoming Department of Revenue estimates that about 30% of the 1% General Purpose Tax in Park County would be paid by travelers from outside Park County.	1	2	3	4	5
g) For a \$10 purchase, the tax is an additional 10 cents. For a \$100 purchase, the tax is an additional \$1.00. Many residents consider this a reasonable amount to pay to protect critical infrastructure, property values and our ability to attract and retain local businesses in Park County.	1	2	3	4	5

Survey continued on back ▶

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY *continued from inside*

**Q7.** If an election were held today, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax within Park County for infrastructure and infrastructure maintenance including, but not limited to, roads, streets, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers, bridges, water, sewer and electrical projects. **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes
- Probably Yes
- Probably No
- Definitely No
- Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q8.** What is your main concern, if any, regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal?

They (gov) don't need it  
They spend all they have  
cut the budget

**Q9.** Rather than a full one (1) percent sales and use tax, if Park County pursued a 1/2 of 1 percent General Purpose Sales & Use Tax and consequently reduced the number of infrastructure projects that it addressed, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) this proposal? **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes
- Probably Yes
- Probably No
- Definitely No
- Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q10.** If Park County pursued a 1% "Specific Purpose" Sales & Use Tax—rather than a 1% "General Purpose" Sales & Use Tax—to fund infrastructure and infrastructure maintenance including, but not limited to, roads, streets, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers, bridges, water, sewer and electrical projects, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) this proposal? (Refer to Fact Sheet for additional details regarding the difference between these two taxes.) **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes
- Probably Yes
- Probably No
- Definitely No
- Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q11.** What more would you like to know, or what question(s) would you like answered, about the proposed 1% General Purpose Tax?

None - Save your money  
for the coming session

**Q12.** What other community improvement projects, if any, should be included as part of the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal if pursued this fall?

None

**Q13.** How long have you been a resident in the area? **(Please check one)**

- 0-5 Years
- 6-10 Years
- 11-20 Years
- More Than 20 Years

**Q14.** What is your zip code? 82414

**Q15.** Do you live in town or out of town? **(Please check one)**

- In Town (Inside the Incorporated Municipality)
- Out of Town (Outside the Incorporated Municipality)
- Don't Know / Not Sure

**Q16.** What is your age? **(Please check one)**

- 18-24 Years
- 25-34 Years
- 35-44 Years
- 45-54
- 55-64
- Age 65 or Older

**Thank you for participating!**

**If you have questions about this survey, please contact:**

**City of Cody**  
Mayor & Council  
1338 Rumsey, PO Box 2200  
Cody, WY 82414-3713  
Phone: (307) 527-7511  
Fax: (307) 527-6532  
mayor@cityofcody.com  
www.cityofcody-wy.gov

**City of Powell**  
Mayor & Council  
270 N Clark St.  
Powell, Wyoming 82435  
Phone: (307) 754-5106  
admin@cityofpowell.com  
www.cityofpowell.com

**Town of Meeteetse**  
Mayor & Council  
2044 State Street, PO Box 38  
Meeteetse, Wyoming 82433  
Phone: 307-868-2278  
Fax: 307-868-2608  
meeteetse@tctwest.net  
www.townofmeeteetse.org

**Park County Commissioners**  
Park County Courthouse  
1002 Sheridan Ave.  
Cody, WY 82414  
Toll Free: 1(800)786-2844 ext 8510  
Fax: (307) 527-8515  
sromero@parkcounty.us  
www.parkcounty.us



BRIDGE IN PARK COUNTY



ROADWAY IN PARK COUNTY



CENTRAL AVENUE IN CODY



BEACON HILL ROAD IN CODY



STAMPEDE AVENUE IN CODY



ABSAROKA STREET IN POWELL



AVENUE B IN POWELL



WASTEWATER LAGOON IN MEETEETSE



WARREN STREET IN MEETEETSE

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY

Park County • City of Cody • City of Powell • Town of Meeteetse

MARCH 2016

## Where do we go from here?

In November 2012, almost four years ago, Park County voters turned down a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax (often referred to as the 1% *General Purpose Tax*, 1% *Optional Tax* or 5th *Cent Tax*). Proceeds of the 1% General Purpose Tax would have gone toward road and street improvements, water and sewer projects, bridge improvements and other infrastructure projects throughout Park County.

The infrastructure projects that would have been funded by the 1% General Purpose Tax have not gone away. In fact, the cost of many of these projects has increased. And to make things more challenging, the State of Wyoming has reduced funding to Wyoming counties and municipalities.

Given the need to address high priority infrastructure projects and ongoing infrastructure maintenance—coupled with the State's recent funding cuts—Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse are considering returning to the ballot with a 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. However, no action will be taken until you—our taxpayers—are given the opportunity to provide your thoughts and recommendations.

Following are the types of questions we'd like to get your input on as we evaluate how best to fund our highest priority infrastructure needs:

- **What are your concerns, if any, regarding a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax?**
- **In your opinion, are there projects that should—or should not—be funded with this type of tax?**
- **Would you prefer to see a 1% Specific Purpose Tax on the ballot to address infrastructure needs rather than a 1% General Purpose Tax?**

Before completing the attached survey, please take a few minutes to review the enclosed "Just The Facts" sheet, which provides additional information regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax and proposed use of proceeds.

Nothing is set in stone regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal until we gather additional community feedback. We sincerely mean that. We do not want to pursue another tax proposal if there is not going to be strong county-wide support from our residents.

**You have the final word. Always.**

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY

**Thank you for participating in this important Public Opinion Survey.** The results of this survey will play an important role in whether or not a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax is pursued this fall and the composition of that proposal, if pursued. Please review the following questions, review with other members of your household, and complete the survey. A self-addressed, postage-paid envelope is enclosed for your convenience. **All responses are anonymous. The results will be independently tallied and reported by a third-party firm. Please complete and return the survey by April 22, 2016. All surveys must be returned in the postage-paid reply envelope provided. Thank you for participating.**

## PLEASE REVIEW "JUST THE FACTS" DOCUMENT BEFORE COMPLETING SURVEY

**Q1.** Before receiving the enclosed information, how much had you read, seen or heard about Park County considering placing a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax on the November 2016 ballot? **(Please check one)**

- A lot  
 Some  
 Hardly anything  
 Nothing at all

**Q2.** Many residents are not familiar with the ins and outs of the 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax, including how the tax is calculated, the types of purchases that are exempt and the percentage of revenues that go back to local governments. Before receiving the enclosed information, how familiar were you with the details of this tax? **(Please check one)**

- A lot  
 Some  
 A Little  
 Nothing at all

**Q3.** There are a number of projects that the proposed 1% General Purpose Tax would address (as further detailed in the enclosed Fact Sheet). On a scale of 1 to 5, what priority should be placed on funding each of the following projects with a 1% General Purpose Tax? **(Please circle one number next to each item):**

	Low Priority	←————→			High Priority
a) Repairing Park County's bridges that are in the greatest need of improvements.	1	2	3	4	5
b) Repairing or replacing roadways in Park County to extend their useful life and enhance vehicle safety.	1	2	3	4	5
c) Constructing a water storage tank off of Beacon Hill in Cody to improve fire protection, water storage and water system maintenance.	1	2	3	4	5
d) Upgrading Cody's wastewater lagoon system, parts of the existing storm drainage system, and irrigation system improvements.	1	2	3	4	5
e) Completing ADA ramp projects throughout Cody to improve accessibility for persons with disabilities.	1	2	3	4	5
f) Reconstructing Beacon Hill Road and a section of Sheridan Avenue, as well as 29th Street curb, gutter and sidewalk improvements in Cody.	1	2	3	4	5
g) Improvements in Powell along Absaroka Street (from Third to Seventh), including rebuilding the water line; street widening; storm drains; curb, gutter and sidewalks; trees; and ADA projects.	1	2	3	4	5
h) Storm drainage improvements along Division Street in Powell—from Avenue E to Seventh Street—including ADA ramps at corners, as well as improvements to Grand Street (overlay), widening of A,B,C and D avenues, and repaving of Seventh Street (from Bernard to Division).	1	2	3	4	5
i) Water, sewer, street and solid waste management projects in Meeteetse, including 65-year-old water distribution line replacement along Hwy 290, water treatment plant and tank improvements, fire hydrant upgrades, pump station rehabilitation, storm drain and street chip sealing.	1	2	3	4	5

**Q4.** Some residents would like to see a 1% General Purpose Tax fund non-infrastructure projects. On a scale of 1 to 5, what priority should be placed on funding each of the following projects with a 1% General Purpose Tax? **(Please circle one number next to each item):**

	Low Priority	←————→			High Priority
a) Recreation-related projects like bike paths, ball fields, horse trails and skate parks.	1	2	3	4	5
b) Funding for community groups and organizations like senior citizen centers, food banks and Boys and Girls Clubs.	1	2	3	4	5
c) Endowments to go toward operating costs of swimming pools, recreation centers and similar facilities.	1	2	3	4	5

**Q5.** Following are some arguments people have made against Park County's 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All Convincing" and 5 being "Very Convincing", how would you rate each of the following arguments as a reason to vote AGAINST Park County's proposed 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

Not At All Convincing ←————→ Very Convincing

- |  |   |   |   |   |   |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| a) The State already has in place a 4% sales tax. Adding another 1% sales and use tax is a 25% increase. That's too much of a tax burden.  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| b) With the oil and gas industry struggling statewide, now is not the time to be increasing taxes.   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| c) Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse should be setting aside existing tax dollars to tackle large infrastructure projects, rather than raising taxes.  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| d) Local retailers could be negatively impacted from a 1% sales and use tax increase as a result of fewer purchases being made within Park County.   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| e) The 1% General Purpose Tax will cost the average household in Park County an additional \$80 per year. That amount of a tax increase will likely be a hardship for many low-income families and seniors on a fixed income.  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| f) While voters in Park County would have the opportunity to vote on the 1% General Purpose Tax after it has been in place for four years, it's unlikely that it will be voted down once it's in place. Voters in other counties keep voting the tax in every four years.                          | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| g) It makes more sense for Park County to pursue a 1% Specific Purpose Tax rather than a 1% General Purpose Tax. The 1% Specific Purpose Tax goes away once the specific projects are fully funded. (Refer to Fact Sheet for additional details regarding the difference between these two taxes.) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

**Q6.** Following are some arguments people have made in favor of Park County's 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All Convincing" and 5 being "Very Convincing", how would you rate each of the following arguments as a reason to vote IN FAVOR of Park County's proposed 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

Not At All Convincing ←————→ Very Convincing

- |  |   |   |   |   |   |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| a) With the State of Wyoming cutting funding to Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse, revenues from a 1% General Purpose Tax are more important than ever to address high priority street, bridge, water, sewer and other infrastructure projects.  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| b) Unlike the existing 4% Sales & Use Tax, in which local governments get to keep only 30% of the tax revenues, local governments get to keep 99% of the 1% General Purpose Tax revenues. This is an important reason why 21 of 23 counties in Wyoming have approved the 1% General Purpose Tax.     | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| c) The 1% General Purpose Tax would have a four-year renewal election in Park County. The tax would have to be approved by voters every four years for it to continue.   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| d) Revenues from a voter-approved 1% General Purpose Tax would be distributed based on size of population. For example, 43% of the tax revenues would go to Park County, 34% to Cody, 22% to Powell and 1% to Meeteetse.   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| e) There are 49 exemptions from the 1% General Purpose Tax, according to State Statute 39-15-105, including real estate purchases, food, fuel, fertilizer, livestock, feed and farm equipment.   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| f) Revenue from a voter-approved 1% General Purpose Tax would include sales tax generated inside Yellowstone National Park. In fact, the Wyoming Department of Revenue estimates that about 30% of the 1% General Purpose Tax in Park County would be paid by travelers from outside Park County.    | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| g) For a \$10 purchase, the tax is an additional 10 cents. For a \$100 purchase, the tax is an additional \$1.00. Many residents consider this a reasonable amount to pay to protect critical infrastructure, property values and our ability to attract and retain local businesses in Park County. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY *continued from inside*

**Q7.** If an election were held today, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax within Park County for infrastructure and infrastructure maintenance including, but not limited to, roads, streets, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers, bridges, water, sewer and electrical projects. **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes  
 Probably Yes  
 Probably No  
 Definitely No  
 Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q8.** What is your main concern, if any, regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal?

Lack of open mindedness to  
Any TAX!

**Q9.** Rather than a full one (1) percent sales and use tax, if Park County pursued a 1/2 of 1 percent General Purpose Sales & Use Tax and consequently reduced the number of infrastructure projects that it addressed, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) this proposal? **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes  
 Probably Yes  
 Probably No  
 Definitely No  
 Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q10.** If Park County pursued a 1% "Specific Purpose" Sales & Use Tax—rather than a 1% "General Purpose" Sales & Use Tax—to fund infrastructure and infrastructure maintenance including, but not limited to, roads, streets, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers, bridges, water, sewer and electrical projects, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) this proposal? (Refer to Fact Sheet for additional details regarding the difference between these two taxes.) **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes  
 Probably Yes  
 Probably No  
 Definitely No  
 Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q11.** What more would you like to know, or what question(s) would you like answered, about the proposed 1% General Purpose Tax?

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Q12.** What other community improvement projects, if any, should be included as part of the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal if pursued this fall?

Bike - Pedestrian trails  
similar to Casper - Jackson,

**Q13.** How long have you been a resident in the area? **(Please check one)**

- 0-5 Years  
 6-10 Years  
 11-20 Years  
 More Than 20 Years

**Q14.** What is your zip code? 82414

**Q15.** Do you live in town or out of town? **(Please check one)**

- In Town (Inside the Incorporated Municipality)  
 Out of Town (Outside the Incorporated Municipality)  
 Don't Know / Not Sure

**Q16.** What is your age? **(Please check one)**

- 18-24 Years  
 25-34 Years  
 35-44 Years  
 45-54  
 55-64  
 Age 65 or Older

**Thank you for participating!**

**If you have questions about this survey, please contact:**

**City of Cody**

Mayor & Council  
1338 Rumsey, PO Box 2200  
Cody, WY 82414-3713  
Phone: (307) 527-7511  
Fax: (307) 527-6532  
mayor@cityofcody.com  
www.cityofcody-wy.gov

**City of Powell**

Mayor & Council  
270 N Clark St.  
Powell, Wyoming 82435  
Phone: (307) 754-5106  
admin@cityofpowell.com  
www.cityofpowell.com

**Town of Meeteetse**

Mayor & Council  
2044 State Street, PO Box 38  
Meeteetse, Wyoming 82433  
Phone: 307-868-2278  
Fax: 307-868-2608  
meeteetse@tctwest.net  
www.townofmeeteetse.org

**Park County Commissioners**

Park County Courthouse  
1002 Sheridan Ave.  
Cody, WY 82414  
Toll Free: 1(800)786-2844 ext 8510  
Fax: (307) 527-8515  
sromero@parkcounty.us  
www.parkcounty.us



BRIDGE IN PARK COUNTY



ROADWAY IN PARK COUNTY



CENTRAL AVENUE IN CODY



BEACON HILL ROAD IN CODY



STAMPEDE AVENUE IN CODY




ABSAROKA STREET IN POWELL



AVENUE B IN POWELL



WASTEWATER LAGOON IN MEETEETSE



WARREN STREET IN MEETEETSE

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY

Park County • City of Cody • City of Powell • Town of Meeteetse

MARCH 2016

## Where do we go from here?

In November 2012, almost four years ago, Park County voters turned down a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax (often referred to as the 1% *General Purpose Tax*, 1% *Optional Tax* or 5th *Cent Tax*). Proceeds of the 1% General Purpose Tax would have gone toward road and street improvements, water and sewer projects, bridge improvements and other infrastructure projects throughout Park County.

The infrastructure projects that would have been funded by the 1% General Purpose Tax have not gone away. In fact, the cost of many of these projects has increased. And to make things more challenging, the State of Wyoming has reduced funding to Wyoming counties and municipalities.

Given the need to address high priority infrastructure projects and ongoing infrastructure maintenance—coupled with the State's recent funding cuts—Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse are considering returning to the ballot with a 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. However, no action will be taken until you—our taxpayers—are given the opportunity to provide your thoughts and recommendations.

Following are the types of questions we'd like to get your input on as we evaluate how best to fund our highest priority infrastructure needs:

- What are your concerns, if any, regarding a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax?
- In your opinion, are there projects that should—or should not—be funded with this type of tax?
- Would you prefer to see a 1% Specific Purpose Tax on the ballot to address infrastructure needs rather than a 1% General Purpose Tax?

Before completing the attached survey, please take a few minutes to review the enclosed "Just The Facts" sheet, which provides additional information regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax and proposed use of proceeds.

Nothing is set in stone regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal until we gather additional community feedback. We sincerely mean that. We do not want to pursue another tax proposal if there is not going to be strong county-wide support from our residents.

**You have the final word. Always.**

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY

Thank you for participating in this important Public Opinion Survey. The results of this survey will play an important role in whether or not a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax is pursued this fall and the composition of that proposal, if pursued. Please review the following questions, review with other members of your household, and complete the survey. A self-addressed, postage-paid envelope is enclosed for your convenience. All responses are anonymous. The results will be independently tallied and reported by a third-party firm. Please complete and return the survey by April 22, 2016. All surveys must be returned in the postage-paid reply envelope provided. Thank you for participating.

## PLEASE REVIEW "JUST THE FACTS" DOCUMENT BEFORE COMPLETING SURVEY

**Q1.** Before receiving the enclosed information, how much had you read, seen or heard about Park County considering placing a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax on the November 2016 ballot? (Please check one)

- A lot
- Some
- Hardly anything
- Nothing at all

**Q2.** Many residents are not familiar with the ins and outs of the 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax, including how the tax is calculated, the types of purchases that are exempt and the percentage of revenues that go back to local governments. Before receiving the enclosed information, how familiar were you with the details of this tax? (Please check one)

- A lot
- Some
- A Little
- Nothing at all

**Q3.** There are a number of projects that the proposed 1% General Purpose Tax would address (as further detailed in the enclosed Fact Sheet). On a scale of 1 to 5, what priority should be placed on funding each of the following projects with a 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

	Low Priority	←————→	High Priority		
a) Repairing Park County's bridges that are in the greatest need of improvements.	(1)	2	3	4	5
b) Repairing or replacing roadways in Park County to extend their useful life and enhance vehicle safety.	(1)	2	3	4	5
c) Constructing a water storage tank off of Beacon Hill in Cody to improve fire protection, water storage and water system maintenance.	(1)	2	3	4	5
d) Upgrading Cody's wastewater lagoon system, parts of the existing storm drainage system, and irrigation system improvements.	(1)	2	3	4	5
e) Completing ADA ramp projects throughout Cody to improve accessibility for persons with disabilities.	(1)	2	3	4	5
f) Reconstructing Beacon Hill Road and a section of Sheridan Avenue, as well as 29th Street curb, gutter and sidewalk improvements in Cody.	(1)	2	3	4	5
g) Improvements in Powell along Absaroka Street (from Third to Seventh), including rebuilding the water line; street widening; storm drains; curb, gutter and sidewalks; trees; and ADA projects.	(1)	2	3	4	5
h) Storm drainage improvements along Division Street in Powell—from Avenue E to Seventh Street—including ADA ramps at corners, as well as improvements to Grand Street (overlay), widening of A,B,C and D avenues, and repaving of Seventh Street (from Bernard to Division).	(1)	2	3	4	5
i) Water, sewer, street and solid waste management projects in Meeteetse, including 65-year-old water distribution line replacement along Hwy 290, water treatment plant and tank improvements, fire hydrant upgrades, pump station rehabilitation, storm drain and street chip sealing.	(1)	2	3	4	5

**Q4.** Some residents would like to see a 1% General Purpose Tax fund non-infrastructure projects. On a scale of 1 to 5, what priority should be placed on funding each of the following projects with a 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

	Low Priority	←————→	High Priority		
a) Recreation-related projects like bike paths, ball fields, horse trails and skate parks.	(1)	2	3	4	5
b) Funding for community groups and organizations like senior citizen centers, food banks and Boys and Girls Clubs.	(1)	2	3	4	5
c) Endowments to go toward operating costs of swimming pools, recreation centers and similar facilities.	(1)	2	3	4	5

**Q5.** Following are some arguments people have made against Park County's 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All Convincing" and 5 being "Very Convincing", how would you rate each of the following arguments as a reason to vote AGAINST Park County's proposed 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

- |  | Not At All<br>Convincing | ←————→ |   |   | Very<br>Convincing |
|--|--------------------------|--------|---|---|--------------------|
|  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| a) The State already has in place a 4% sales tax. Adding another 1% sales and use tax is a 25% increase. That's too much of a tax burden.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| b) With the oil and gas industry struggling statewide, now is not the time to be increasing taxes.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| c) Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse should be setting aside existing tax dollars to tackle large infrastructure projects, rather than raising taxes.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| d) Local retailers could be negatively impacted from a 1% sales and use tax increase as a result of fewer purchases being made within Park County.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| e) The 1% General Purpose Tax will cost the average household in Park County an additional \$80 per year. That amount of a tax increase will likely be a hardship for many low-income families and seniors on a fixed income.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| f) While voters in Park County would have the opportunity to vote on the 1% General Purpose Tax after it has been in place for four years, it's unlikely that it will be voted down once it's in place. Voters in other counties keep voting the tax in every four years.                          | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| g) It makes more sense for Park County to pursue a 1% Specific Purpose Tax rather than a 1% General Purpose Tax. The 1% Specific Purpose Tax goes away once the specific projects are fully funded. (Refer to Fact Sheet for additional details regarding the difference between these two taxes.) | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |

**Q6.** Following are some arguments people have made in favor of Park County's 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All Convincing" and 5 being "Very Convincing", how would you rate each of the following arguments as a reason to vote IN FAVOR of Park County's proposed 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

- |  | Not At All<br>Convincing | ←————→ |   |   | Very<br>Convincing |
|--|--------------------------|--------|---|---|--------------------|
|  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| a) With the State of Wyoming cutting funding to Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse, revenues from a 1% General Purpose Tax are more important than ever to address high priority street, bridge, water, sewer and other infrastructure projects.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| b) Unlike the existing 4% Sales & Use Tax, in which local governments get to keep only 30% of the tax revenues, local governments get to keep 99% of the 1% General Purpose Tax revenues. This is an important reason why 21 of 23 counties in Wyoming have approved the 1% General Purpose Tax.     | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| c) The 1% General Purpose Tax would have a four-year renewal election in Park County. The tax would have to be approved by voters every four years for it to continue.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| d) Revenues from a voter-approved 1% General Purpose Tax would be distributed based on size of population. For example, 43% of the tax revenues would go to Park County, 34% to Cody, 22% to Powell and 1% to Meeteetse.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| e) There are 49 exemptions from the 1% General Purpose Tax, according to State Statute 39-15-105, including real estate purchases, food, fuel, fertilizer, livestock, feed and farm equipment.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| f) Revenue from a voter-approved 1% General Purpose Tax would include sales tax generated inside Yellowstone National Park. In fact, the Wyoming Department of Revenue estimates that about 30% of the 1% General Purpose Tax in Park County would be paid by travelers from outside Park County.    | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| g) For a \$10 purchase, the tax is an additional 10 cents. For a \$100 purchase, the tax is an additional \$1.00. Many residents consider this a reasonable amount to pay to protect critical infrastructure, property values and our ability to attract and retain local businesses in Park County. | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |

Survey continued on back ►

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY *continued from inside*

**Q7.** If an election were held today, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax within Park County for infrastructure and infrastructure maintenance including, but not limited to, roads, streets, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers, bridges, water, sewer and electrical projects. **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes
- Probably Yes
- Probably No
- Definitely No
- Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q8.** What is your main concern, if any, regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal?

To much burden on  
lower income and  
seniors

**Q9.** Rather than a full one (1) percent sales and use tax, if Park County pursued a 1/2 of 1 percent General Purpose Sales & Use Tax and consequently reduced the number of infrastructure projects that it addressed, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) this proposal? **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes
- Probably Yes
- Probably No
- Definitely No
- Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q10.** If Park County pursued a 1% "Specific Purpose" Sales & Use Tax—rather than a 1% "General Purpose" Sales & Use Tax—to fund infrastructure and infrastructure maintenance including, but not limited to, roads, streets, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers, bridges, water, sewer and electrical projects, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) this proposal? (Refer to Fact Sheet for additional details regarding the difference between these two taxes.) **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes
- Probably Yes
- Probably No
- Definitely No
- Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q11.** What more would you like to know, or what question(s) would you like answered, about the proposed 1% General Purpose Tax?

As a taxpayer - am  
I paying for this  
Survey?

**Q12.** What other community improvement projects, if any, should be included as part of the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal if pursued this fall?

NO

**Q13.** How long have you been a resident in the area? **(Please check one)**

- 0-5 Years
- 6-10 Years
- 11-20 Years
- More Than 20 Years

**Q14.** What is your zip code? 82190

**Q15.** Do you live in town or out of town? **(Please check one)**

- In Town (*Inside the Incorporated Municipality*)
- Out of Town (*Outside the Incorporated Municipality*)
- Don't Know / Not Sure

**Q16.** What is your age? **(Please check one)**

- 18-24 Years
- 25-34 Years
- 35-44 Years
- 45-54
- 55-64
- Age 65 or Older

***Thank you for participating!***

**If you have questions about this survey, please contact:**

**City of Cody**  
Mayor & Council  
1338 Rumsey, PO Box 2200  
Cody, WY 82414-3713  
Phone: (307) 527-7511  
Fax: (307) 527-6532  
mayor@cityofcody.com  
www.cityofcody-wy.gov

**City of Powell**  
Mayor & Council  
270 N Clark St.  
Powell, Wyoming 82435  
Phone: (307) 754-5106  
admin@cityofpowell.com  
www.cityofpowell.com

**Town of Meeteetse**  
Mayor & Council  
2044 State Street, PO Box 38  
Meeteetse, Wyoming 82433  
Phone: 307-868-2278  
Fax: 307-868-2608  
meeteetse@tctwest.net  
www.townofmeeteetse.org

**Park County Commissioners**  
Park County Courthouse  
1002 Sheridan Ave.  
Cody, WY 82414  
Toll Free: 1(800)786-2844 ext 8510  
Fax: (307) 527-8515  
sromero@parkcounty.us  
www.parkcounty.us



BRIDGE IN PARK COUNTY



ROADWAY IN PARK COUNTY



CENTRAL AVENUE IN CODY



BEACON HILL ROAD IN CODY



STAMPEDE AVENUE IN CODY




ABSAROKA STREET IN POWELL



AVENUE B IN POWELL



WASTEWATER LAGOON IN MEETEETSE



WARREN STREET IN MEETEETSE

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY

Park County • City of Cody • City of Powell • Town of Meeteetse

MARCH 2016

## Where do we go from here?

In November 2012, almost four years ago, Park County voters turned down a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax (often referred to as the 1% *General Purpose Tax*, 1% *Optional Tax* or 5th *Cent Tax*). Proceeds of the 1% General Purpose Tax would have gone toward road and street improvements, water and sewer projects, bridge improvements and other infrastructure projects throughout Park County.

The infrastructure projects that would have been funded by the 1% General Purpose Tax have not gone away. In fact, the cost of many of these projects has increased. And to make things more challenging, the State of Wyoming has reduced funding to Wyoming counties and municipalities.

Given the need to address high priority infrastructure projects and ongoing infrastructure maintenance—coupled with the State's recent funding cuts—Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse are considering returning to the ballot with a 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. However, no action will be taken until you—our taxpayers—are given the opportunity to provide your thoughts and recommendations.

Following are the types of questions we'd like to get your input on as we evaluate how best to fund our highest priority infrastructure needs:

- What are your concerns, if any, regarding a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax?
- In your opinion, are there projects that should—or should not—be funded with this type of tax?
- Would you prefer to see a 1% Specific Purpose Tax on the ballot to address infrastructure needs rather than a 1% General Purpose Tax?

Before completing the attached survey, please take a few minutes to review the enclosed "Just The Facts" sheet, which provides additional information regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax and proposed use of proceeds.

Nothing is set in stone regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal until we gather additional community feedback. We sincerely mean that. We do not want to pursue another tax proposal if there is not going to be strong county-wide support from our residents.

**You have the final word. Always.**

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY

Thank you for participating in this important Public Opinion Survey. The results of this survey will play an important role in whether or not a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax is pursued this fall and the composition of that proposal, if pursued. Please review the following questions, review with other members of your household, and complete the survey. A self-addressed, postage-paid envelope is enclosed for your convenience. All responses are anonymous. The results will be independently tallied and reported by a third-party firm. Please complete and return the survey by April 22, 2016. All surveys must be returned in the postage-paid reply envelope provided. Thank you for participating.

## PLEASE REVIEW "JUST THE FACTS" DOCUMENT BEFORE COMPLETING SURVEY

**Q1.** Before receiving the enclosed information, how much had you read, seen or heard about Park County considering placing a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax on the November 2016 ballot? (Please check one)

- A lot
- Some
- Hardly anything
- Nothing at all

**Q2.** Many residents are not familiar with the ins and outs of the 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax, including how the tax is calculated, the types of purchases that are exempt and the percentage of revenues that go back to local governments. Before receiving the enclosed information, how familiar were you with the details of this tax? (Please check one)

- A lot
- Some
- A Little
- Nothing at all

**Q3.** There are a number of projects that the proposed 1% General Purpose Tax would address (as further detailed in the enclosed Fact Sheet). On a scale of 1 to 5, what priority should be placed on funding each of the following projects with a 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

	Low Priority	←————→			High Priority
a) Repairing Park County's bridges that are in the greatest need of improvements.	1	2	3	4	5
b) Repairing or replacing roadways in Park County to extend their useful life and enhance vehicle safety.	1	2	3	4	5
c) Constructing a water storage tank off of Beacon Hill in Cody to improve fire protection, water storage and water system maintenance.	1	2	3	4	5
d) Upgrading Cody's wastewater lagoon system, parts of the existing storm drainage system, and irrigation system improvements.	1	2	3	4	5
e) Completing ADA ramp projects throughout Cody to improve accessibility for persons with disabilities.	1	2	3	4	5
f) Reconstructing Beacon Hill Road and a section of Sheridan Avenue, as well as 29th Street curb, gutter and sidewalk improvements in Cody.	1	2	3	4	5
g) Improvements in Powell along Absaroka Street (from Third to Seventh), including rebuilding the water line; street widening; storm drains; curb, gutter and sidewalks; trees; and ADA projects.	1	2	3	4	5
h) Storm drainage improvements along Division Street in Powell—from Avenue E to Seventh Street—including ADA ramps at corners, as well as improvements to Grand Street (overlay), widening of A,B,C and D avenues, and repaving of Seventh Street (from Bernard to Division).	1	2	3	4	5
i) Water, sewer, street and solid waste management projects in Meeteetse, including 65-year-old water distribution line replacement along Hwy 290, water treatment plant and tank improvements, fire hydrant upgrades, pump station rehabilitation, storm drain and street chip sealing.	1	2	3	4	5

**Q4.** Some residents would like to see a 1% General Purpose Tax fund non-infrastructure projects. On a scale of 1 to 5, what priority should be placed on funding each of the following projects with a 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

	Low Priority	←————→			High Priority
a) Recreation-related projects like bike paths, ball fields, horse trails and skate parks.	1	2	3	4	5
b) Funding for community groups and organizations like senior citizen centers, food banks and Boys and Girls Clubs.	1	2	3	4	5
c) Endowments to go toward operating costs of swimming pools, recreation centers and similar facilities.	1	2	3	4	5

**Q5.** Following are some arguments people have made in favor of Park County's 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All Convincing" and 5 being "Very Convincing", how would you rate each of the following arguments as a reason to vote IN FAVOR of Park County's proposed 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

- |  | Not At All<br>Convincing | ←————→ |   |   | Very<br>Convincing |
|--|--------------------------|--------|---|---|--------------------|
|  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| a) With the State of Wyoming cutting funding to Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse, revenues from a 1% General Purpose Tax are more important than ever to address high priority street, bridge, water, sewer and other infrastructure projects.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| b) Unlike the existing 4% Sales & Use Tax, in which local governments get to keep only 30% of the tax revenues, local governments get to keep 99% of the 1% General Purpose Tax revenues. This is an important reason why 21 of 23 counties in Wyoming have approved the 1% General Purpose Tax.     | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| c) The 1% General Purpose Tax would have a four-year renewal election in Park County. The tax would have to be approved by voters every four years for it to continue.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| d) Revenues from a voter-approved 1% General Purpose Tax would be distributed based on size of population. For example, 43% of the tax revenues would go to Park County, 34% to Cody, 22% to Powell and 1% to Meeteetse.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| e) There are 49 exemptions from the 1% General Purpose Tax, according to State Statute 39-15-105, including real estate purchases, food, fuel, fertilizer, livestock, feed and farm equipment.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| f) Revenue from a voter-approved 1% General Purpose Tax would include sales tax generated inside Yellowstone National Park. In fact, the Wyoming Department of Revenue estimates that about 30% of the 1% General Purpose Tax in Park County would be paid by travelers from outside Park County.    | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| g) For a \$10 purchase, the tax is an additional 10 cents. For a \$100 purchase, the tax is an additional \$1.00. Many residents consider this a reasonable amount to pay to protect critical infrastructure, property values and our ability to attract and retain local businesses in Park County. | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |

**Q6.** Following are some arguments people have made against Park County's 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All Convincing" and 5 being "Very Convincing", how would you rate each of the following arguments as a reason to vote AGAINST Park County's proposed 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

- |  | Not At All<br>Convincing | ←————→ |   |   | Very<br>Convincing |
|--|--------------------------|--------|---|---|--------------------|
|  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| a) The State already has in place a 4% sales tax. Adding another 1% sales and use tax is a 25% increase. That's too much of a tax burden.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| b) With the oil and gas industry struggling statewide, now is not the time to be increasing taxes.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| c) Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse should be setting aside existing tax dollars to tackle large infrastructure projects, rather than raising taxes.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| d) Local retailers could be negatively impacted from a 1% sales and use tax increase as a result of fewer purchases being made within Park County.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| e) The 1% General Purpose Tax will cost the average household in Park County an additional \$80 per year. That amount of a tax increase will likely be a hardship for many low-income families and seniors on a fixed income.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| f) While voters in Park County would have the opportunity to vote on the 1% General Purpose Tax after it has been in place for four years, it's unlikely that it will be voted down once it's in place. Voters in other counties keep voting the tax in every four years.                          | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| g) It makes more sense for Park County to pursue a 1% Specific Purpose Tax rather than a 1% General Purpose Tax. The 1% Specific Purpose Tax goes away once the specific projects are fully funded. (Refer to Fact Sheet for additional details regarding the difference between these two taxes.) | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |

Survey continued on back ►

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY *continued from inside*

**Q7.** If an election were held today, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax within Park County for infrastructure and infrastructure maintenance including, but not limited to, roads, streets, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers, bridges, water, sewer and electrical projects. **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes  
 Probably Yes  
 Probably No  
 Definitely No  
 Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q8.** What is your main concern, if any, regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal?

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**Q9.** Rather than a full one (1) percent sales and use tax, if Park County pursued a 1/2 of 1 percent General Purpose Sales & Use Tax and consequently reduced the number of infrastructure projects that it addressed, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) this proposal? **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes  
 Probably Yes  
 Probably No  
 Definitely No  
 Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q10.** If Park County pursued a 1% "Specific Purpose" Sales & Use Tax—rather than a 1% "General Purpose" Sales & Use Tax—to fund infrastructure and infrastructure maintenance including, but not limited to, roads, streets, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers, bridges, water, sewer and electrical projects, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) this proposal? (Refer to Fact Sheet for additional details regarding the difference between these two taxes.) **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes  
 Probably Yes  
 Probably No  
 Definitely No  
 Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q11.** What more would you like to know, or what question(s) would you like answered, about the proposed 1% General Purpose Tax?

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**Q12.** What other community improvement projects, if any, should be included as part of the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal if pursued this fall?

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**Q13.** How long have you been a resident in the area?  
**(Please check one)**

- 0-5 Years  
 6-10 Years  
 11-20 Years  
 More Than 20 Years

**Q14.** What is your zip code? 82414

**Q15.** Do you live in town or out of town?  
**(Please check one)**

- In Town (*Inside the Incorporated Municipality*)  
 Out of Town (*Outside the Incorporated Municipality*)  
 Don't Know / Not Sure

**Q16.** What is your age? **(Please check one)**

- 18-24 Years  
 25-34 Years  
 35-44 Years  
 45-54  
 55-64  
 Age 65 or Older

***Thank you for participating!***

**If you have questions about this survey, please contact:**

**City of Cody**  
Mayor & Council  
1338 Rumsey, PO Box 2200  
Cody, WY 82414-3713  
Phone: (307) 527-7511  
Fax: (307) 527-6532  
mayor@cityofcody.com  
www.cityofcody-wy.gov

**City of Powell**  
Mayor & Council  
270 N Clark St.  
Powell, Wyoming 82435  
Phone: (307) 754-5106  
admin@cityofpowell.com  
www.cityofpowell.com

**Town of Meeteetse**  
Mayor & Council  
2044 State Street, PO Box 38  
Meeteetse, Wyoming 82433  
Phone: 307-868-2278  
Fax: 307-868-2608  
meeteetse@tctwest.net  
www.townofmeeteetse.org

**Park County Commissioners**  
Park County Courthouse  
1002 Sheridan Ave.  
Cody, WY 82414  
Toll Free: 1(800)786-2844 ext 8510  
Fax: (307) 527-8515  
sromero@parkcounty.us  
www.parkcounty.us



BRIDGE IN PARK COUNTY



ROADWAY IN PARK COUNTY



CENTRAL AVENUE IN CODY



BEACON HILL ROAD IN CODY



STAMPEDE AVENUE IN CODY




ABSAROKA STREET IN POWELL



AVENUE B IN POWELL



WASTEWATER LAGOON IN MEETEETSE



WARREN STREET IN MEETEETSE

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY

Park County • City of Cody • City of Powell • Town of Meeteetse

MARCH 2016

## Where do we go from here?

In November 2012, almost four years ago, Park County voters turned down a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax (often referred to as the 1% *General Purpose Tax*, 1% *Optional Tax* or 5th *Cent Tax*). Proceeds of the 1% General Purpose Tax would have gone toward road and street improvements, water and sewer projects, bridge improvements and other infrastructure projects throughout Park County.

The infrastructure projects that would have been funded by the 1% General Purpose Tax have not gone away. In fact, the cost of many of these projects has increased. And to make things more challenging, the State of Wyoming has reduced funding to Wyoming counties and municipalities.

Given the need to address high priority infrastructure projects and ongoing infrastructure maintenance—coupled with the State's recent funding cuts—Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse are considering returning to the ballot with a 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. However, no action will be taken until you—our taxpayers—are given the opportunity to provide your thoughts and recommendations.

Following are the types of questions we'd like to get your input on as we evaluate how best to fund our highest priority infrastructure needs:

- **What are your concerns, if any, regarding a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax?**
- **In your opinion, are there projects that should—or should not—be funded with this type of tax?**
- **Would you prefer to see a 1% Specific Purpose Tax on the ballot to address infrastructure needs rather than a 1% General Purpose Tax?**

Before completing the attached survey, please take a few minutes to review the enclosed "Just The Facts" sheet, which provides additional information regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax and proposed use of proceeds.

Nothing is set in stone regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal until we gather additional community feedback. We sincerely mean that. We do not want to pursue another tax proposal if there is not going to be strong county-wide support from our residents.

**You have the final word. Always.**

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY

**Thank you for participating in this important Public Opinion Survey.** The results of this survey will play an important role in whether or not a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax is pursued this fall and the composition of that proposal, if pursued. Please review the following questions, review with other members of your household, and complete the survey. A self-addressed, postage-paid envelope is enclosed for your convenience. **All responses are anonymous. The results will be independently tallied and reported by a third-party firm. Please complete and return the survey by April 22, 2016. All surveys must be returned in the postage-paid reply envelope provided. Thank you for participating.**

## PLEASE REVIEW "JUST THE FACTS" DOCUMENT BEFORE COMPLETING SURVEY

**Q1.** Before receiving the enclosed information, how much had you read, seen or heard about Park County considering placing a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax on the November 2016 ballot? **(Please check one)**

- A lot  
 Some  
 Hardly anything  
 Nothing at all

**Q2.** Many residents are not familiar with the ins and outs of the 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax, including how the tax is calculated, the types of purchases that are exempt and the percentage of revenues that go back to local governments. Before receiving the enclosed information, how familiar were you with the details of this tax? **(Please check one)**

- A lot  
 Some  
 A Little  
 Nothing at all

**Q3.** There are a number of projects that the proposed 1% General Purpose Tax would address (as further detailed in the enclosed Fact Sheet). On a scale of 1 to 5, what priority should be placed on funding each of the following projects with a 1% General Purpose Tax? **(Please circle one number next to each item):**

	Low Priority	←————→			High Priority
a) Repairing Park County's bridges that are in the greatest need of improvements.	1	2	3	(4)	5
b) Repairing or replacing roadways in Park County to extend their useful life and enhance vehicle safety.	1	2	3	(4)	5
c) Constructing a water storage tank off of Beacon Hill in Cody to improve fire protection, water storage and water system maintenance.	1	2	(3)	4	5
d) Upgrading Cody's wastewater lagoon system, parts of the existing storm drainage system, and irrigation system improvements.	1	2	3	(4)	5
e) Completing ADA ramp projects throughout Cody to improve accessibility for persons with disabilities.	1	(2)	3	4	5
f) Reconstructing Beacon Hill Road and a section of Sheridan Avenue, as well as 29th Street curb, gutter and sidewalk improvements in Cody.	1	2	3	(4)	5
g) Improvements in Powell along Absaroka Street (from Third to Seventh), including rebuilding the water line; street widening; storm drains; curb, gutter and sidewalks; trees; and ADA projects.	1	2	3	(4)	5
h) Storm drainage improvements along Division Street in Powell—from Avenue E to Seventh Street—including ADA ramps at corners, as well as improvements to Grand Street (overlay), widening of A,B,C and D avenues, and repaving of Seventh Street (from Bernard to Division).	1	2	3	(4)	5
i) Water, sewer, street and solid waste management projects in Meeteetse, including 65-year-old water distribution line replacement along Hwy 290, water treatment plant and tank improvements, fire hydrant upgrades, pump station rehabilitation, storm drain and street chip sealing.	1	2	3	(4)	5

**Q4.** Some residents would like to see a 1% General Purpose Tax fund non-infrastructure projects. On a scale of 1 to 5, what priority should be placed on funding each of the following projects with a 1% General Purpose Tax? **(Please circle one number next to each item):**

	Low Priority	←————→			High Priority
a) Recreation-related projects like bike paths, ball fields, horse trails and skate parks.	(1)	2	3	4	5
b) Funding for community groups and organizations like senior citizen centers, food banks and Boys and Girls Clubs.	(1)	2	<del>3</del>	4	5
c) Endowments to go toward operating costs of swimming pools, recreation centers and similar facilities.	(1)	2	<del>3</del>	4	5

**Q5.** Following are some arguments people have made in favor of Park County's 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All Convincing" and 5 being "Very Convincing", how would you rate each of the following arguments as a reason to vote IN FAVOR of Park County's proposed 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

- |  | Not At All<br>Convincing | ←————→ |   |   | Very<br>Convincing |
|--|--------------------------|--------|---|---|--------------------|
|  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| a) With the State of Wyoming cutting funding to Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse, revenues from a 1% General Purpose Tax are more important than ever to address high priority street, bridge, water, sewer and other infrastructure projects.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| b) Unlike the existing 4% Sales & Use Tax, in which local governments get to keep only 30% of the tax revenues, local governments get to keep 99% of the 1% General Purpose Tax revenues. This is an important reason why 21 of 23 counties in Wyoming have approved the 1% General Purpose Tax.     | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| c) The 1% General Purpose Tax would have a four-year renewal election in Park County. The tax would have to be approved by voters every four years for it to continue.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| d) Revenues from a voter-approved 1% General Purpose Tax would be distributed based on size of population. For example, 43% of the tax revenues would go to Park County, 34% to Cody, 22% to Powell and 1% to Meeteetse.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| e) There are 49 exemptions from the 1% General Purpose Tax, according to State Statute 39-15-105, including real estate purchases, food, fuel, fertilizer, livestock, feed and farm equipment.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| f) Revenue from a voter-approved 1% General Purpose Tax would include sales tax generated inside Yellowstone National Park. In fact, the Wyoming Department of Revenue estimates that about 30% of the 1% General Purpose Tax in Park County would be paid by travelers from outside Park County.    | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| g) For a \$10 purchase, the tax is an additional 10 cents. For a \$100 purchase, the tax is an additional \$1.00. Many residents consider this a reasonable amount to pay to protect critical infrastructure, property values and our ability to attract and retain local businesses in Park County. | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |

**Q6.** Following are some arguments people have made against Park County's 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All Convincing" and 5 being "Very Convincing", how would you rate each of the following arguments as a reason to vote AGAINST Park County's proposed 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

- |  | Not At All<br>Convincing | ←————→ |   |   | Very<br>Convincing |
|--|--------------------------|--------|---|---|--------------------|
|  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| a) The State already has in place a 4% sales tax. Adding another 1% sales and use tax is a 25% increase. That's too much of a tax burden.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| b) With the oil and gas industry struggling statewide, now is not the time to be increasing taxes.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| c) Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse should be setting aside existing tax dollars to tackle large infrastructure projects, rather than raising taxes.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| d) Local retailers could be negatively impacted from a 1% sales and use tax increase as a result of fewer purchases being made within Park County.   | <del>1</del>             | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| e) The 1% General Purpose Tax will cost the average household in Park County an additional \$80 per year. That amount of a tax increase will likely be a hardship for many low-income families and seniors on a fixed income.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| f) While voters in Park County would have the opportunity to vote on the 1% General Purpose Tax after it has been in place for four years, it's unlikely that it will be voted down once it's in place. Voters in other counties keep voting the tax in every four years.                          | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| g) It makes more sense for Park County to pursue a 1% Specific Purpose Tax rather than a 1% General Purpose Tax. The 1% Specific Purpose Tax goes away once the specific projects are fully funded. (Refer to Fact Sheet for additional details regarding the difference between these two taxes.) | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY *continued from inside*

**Q7.** If an election were held today, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax within Park County for infrastructure and infrastructure maintenance including, but not limited to, roads, streets, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers, bridges, water, sewer and electrical projects. **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes
- Probably Yes
- Probably No
- Definitely No
- Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q8.** What is your main concern, if any, regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal?

*That it will be used for things other than infrastructure. If it is to only be used for infrastructure why not call it an "infrastructure only sales tax"?*

**Q9.** Rather than a full one (1) percent sales and use tax, if Park County pursued a 1/2 of 1 percent General Purpose Sales & Use Tax and consequently reduced the number of infrastructure projects that it addressed, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) this proposal? **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes
- Probably Yes
- Probably No
- Definitely No
- Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q10.** If Park County pursued a 1% "Specific Purpose" Sales & Use Tax—rather than a 1% "General Purpose" Sales & Use Tax—to fund infrastructure and infrastructure maintenance including, but not limited to, roads, streets, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers, bridges, water, sewer and electrical projects, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) this proposal? (Refer to Fact Sheet for additional details regarding the difference between these two taxes.) **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes
- Probably Yes
- Probably No
- Definitely No
- Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q11.** What more would you like to know, or what question(s) would you like answered, about the proposed 1% General Purpose Tax?

*What assurances will I have that this will only be used for infrastructure/maintenance? I'm concerned if this passes that more of our existing 4% will be diverted away from essential infrastructure/maintenance to non-essential*

**Q12.** What other community improvement projects, if any, should be included as part of the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal if pursued this fall?

**Q13.** How long have you been a resident in the area? **(Please check one)**

- 0-5 Years
- 6-10 Years
- 11-20 Years
- More Than 20 Years

**Q14.** What is your zip code? *82414*

**Q15.** Do you live in town or out of town? **(Please check one)**

- In Town (Inside the Incorporated Municipality)
- Out of Town (Outside the Incorporated Municipality)
- Don't Know / Not Sure

**Q16.** What is your age? **(Please check one)**

- 18-24 Years
- 25-34 Years
- 35-44 Years
- 45-54
- 55-64
- Age 65 or Older

*→ projects like a Beck Lake Beach as an example.*

**Thank you for participating!**

**If you have questions about this survey, please contact:**

**City of Cody**

Mayor & Council  
1338 Rumsey, PO Box 2200  
Cody, WY 82414-3713  
Phone: (307) 527-7511  
Fax: (307) 527-6532  
mayor@cityofcody.com  
www.cityofcody-wy.gov

**City of Powell**

Mayor & Council  
270 N Clark St.  
Powell, Wyoming 82435  
Phone: (307) 754-5106  
admin@cityofpowell.com  
www.cityofpowell.com

**Town of Meeteetse**

Mayor & Council  
2044 State Street, PO Box 38  
Meeteetse, Wyoming 82433  
Phone: 307-868-2278  
Fax: 307-868-2608  
meeteetse@tctwest.net  
www.townofmeeteetse.org

**Park County Commissioners**

Park County Courthouse  
1002 Sheridan Ave.  
Cody, WY 82414  
Toll Free: 1(800)786-2844 ext 8510  
Fax: (307) 527-8515  
sromero@parkcounty.us  
www.parkcounty.us



BRIDGE IN PARK COUNTY



ROADWAY IN PARK COUNTY



CENTRAL AVENUE IN CODY



BEACON HILL ROAD IN CODY



STAMPEDE AVENUE IN CODY




ABSAROKA STREET IN POWELL



AVENUE B IN POWELL



WASTEWATER LAGOON IN MEETEETSE



WARREN STREET IN MEETEETSE

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY

Park County • City of Cody • City of Powell • Town of Meeteetse

MARCH 2016

## Where do we go from here?

In November 2012, almost four years ago, Park County voters turned down a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax (often referred to as the 1% *General Purpose Tax*, 1% *Optional Tax* or 5th *Cent Tax*). Proceeds of the 1% General Purpose Tax would have gone toward road and street improvements, water and sewer projects, bridge improvements and other infrastructure projects throughout Park County.

The infrastructure projects that would have been funded by the 1% General Purpose Tax have not gone away. In fact, the cost of many of these projects has increased. And to make things more challenging, the State of Wyoming has reduced funding to Wyoming counties and municipalities.

Given the need to address high priority infrastructure projects and ongoing infrastructure maintenance—coupled with the State's recent funding cuts—Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse are considering returning to the ballot with a 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. However, no action will be taken until you—our taxpayers—are given the opportunity to provide your thoughts and recommendations.

Following are the types of questions we'd like to get your input on as we evaluate how best to fund our highest priority infrastructure needs:

- What are your concerns, if any, regarding a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax?
- In your opinion, are there projects that should—or should not—be funded with this type of tax?
- Would you prefer to see a 1% Specific Purpose Tax on the ballot to address infrastructure needs rather than a 1% General Purpose Tax?

Before completing the attached survey, please take a few minutes to review the enclosed "Just The Facts" sheet, which provides additional information regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax and proposed use of proceeds.

Nothing is set in stone regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal until we gather additional community feedback. We sincerely mean that. We do not want to pursue another tax proposal if there is not going to be strong county-wide support from our residents.

## You have the final word. Always.

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY

**Thank you for participating in this important Public Opinion Survey.** The results of this survey will play an important role in whether or not a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax is pursued this fall and the composition of that proposal, if pursued. Please review the following questions, review with other members of your household, and complete the survey. A self-addressed, postage-paid envelope is enclosed for your convenience. **All responses are anonymous. The results will be independently tallied and reported by a third-party firm. Please complete and return the survey by April 22, 2016. All surveys must be returned in the postage-paid reply envelope provided. Thank you for participating.**

## PLEASE REVIEW "JUST THE FACTS" DOCUMENT BEFORE COMPLETING SURVEY

**Q1.** Before receiving the enclosed information, how much had you read, seen or heard about Park County considering placing a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax on the November 2016 ballot? **(Please check one)**

- A lot  
 Some  
 Hardly anything  
 Nothing at all

**Q2.** Many residents are not familiar with the ins and outs of the 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax, including how the tax is calculated, the types of purchases that are exempt and the percentage of revenues that go back to local governments. Before receiving the enclosed information, how familiar were you with the details of this tax? **(Please check one)**

- A lot  
 Some  
 A Little  
 Nothing at all

**Q3.** There are a number of projects that the proposed 1% General Purpose Tax would address (as further detailed in the enclosed Fact Sheet). On a scale of 1 to 5, what priority should be placed on funding each of the following projects with a 1% General Purpose Tax? **(Please circle one number next to each item):**

	Low Priority	←————→			High Priority
a) Repairing Park County's bridges that are in the greatest need of improvements.	1	2	3	4	5
b) Repairing or replacing roadways in Park County to extend their useful life and enhance vehicle safety.	1	2	3	4	5
c) Constructing a water storage tank off of Beacon Hill in Cody to improve fire protection, water storage and water system maintenance.	1	2	3	4	5
d) Upgrading Cody's wastewater lagoon system, parts of the existing storm drainage system, and irrigation system improvements.	1	2	3	4	5
e) Completing ADA ramp projects throughout Cody to improve accessibility for persons with disabilities.	1	2	3	4	5
f) Reconstructing Beacon Hill Road and a section of Sheridan Avenue, as well as 29th Street curb, gutter and sidewalk improvements in Cody.	1	2	3	4	5
g) Improvements in Powell along Absaroka Street (from Third to Seventh), including rebuilding the water line; street widening; storm drains; curb, gutter and sidewalks; trees; and ADA projects.	1	2	3	4	5
h) Storm drainage improvements along Division Street in Powell—from Avenue E to Seventh Street—including ADA ramps at corners, as well as improvements to Grand Street (overlay), widening of A,B,C and D avenues, and repaving of Seventh Street (from Bernard to Division).	1	2	3	4	5
i) Water, sewer, street and solid waste management projects in Meeteetse, including 65-year-old water distribution line replacement along Hwy 290, water treatment plant and tank improvements, fire hydrant upgrades, pump station rehabilitation, storm drain and street chip sealing.	1	2	3	4	5

**Q4.** Some residents would like to see a 1% General Purpose Tax fund non-infrastructure projects. On a scale of 1 to 5, what priority should be placed on funding each of the following projects with a 1% General Purpose Tax? **(Please circle one number next to each item):**

	Low Priority	←————→			High Priority
a) Recreation-related projects like bike paths, ball fields, horse trails and skate parks.	1	2	3	4	5
b) Funding for community groups and organizations like senior citizen centers, food banks and Boys and Girls Clubs.	1	2	3	4	5
c) Endowments to go toward operating costs of swimming pools, recreation centers and similar facilities.	1	2	3	4	5

**Q5.** Following are some arguments people have made against Park County's 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All Convincing" and 5 being "Very Convincing", how would you rate each of the following arguments as a reason to vote AGAINST Park County's proposed 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

	Not At All Convincing	←————→			Very Convincing
	1	2	3	4	5
a) The State already has in place a 4% sales tax. Adding another 1% sales and use tax is a 25% increase. That's too much of a tax burden.	1	2	3	4	5
b) With the oil and gas industry struggling statewide, now is not the time to be increasing taxes.	1	2	3	4	5
c) Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse should be setting aside existing tax dollars to tackle large infrastructure projects, rather than raising taxes.	1	2	3	4	5
d) Local retailers could be negatively impacted from a 1% sales and use tax increase as a result of fewer purchases being made within Park County.	1	2	3	4	5
e) The 1% General Purpose Tax will cost the average household in Park County an additional \$80 per year. That amount of a tax increase will likely be a hardship for many low-income families and seniors on a fixed income.	1	2	3	4	5
f) While voters in Park County would have the opportunity to vote on the 1% General Purpose Tax after it has been in place for four years, it's unlikely that it will be voted down once it's in place. Voters in other counties keep voting the tax in every four years.	1	2	3	4	5
g) It makes more sense for Park County to pursue a 1% Specific Purpose Tax rather than a 1% General Purpose Tax. The 1% Specific Purpose Tax goes away once the specific projects are fully funded. (Refer to Fact Sheet for additional details regarding the difference between these two taxes.)	1	2	3	4	5

**Q6.** Following are some arguments people have made in favor of Park County's 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All Convincing" and 5 being "Very Convincing", how would you rate each of the following arguments as a reason to vote IN FAVOR of Park County's proposed 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

	Not At All Convincing	←————→			Very Convincing
	1	2	3	4	5
a) With the State of Wyoming cutting funding to Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse, revenues from a 1% General Purpose Tax are more important than ever to address high priority street, bridge, water, sewer and other infrastructure projects.	1	2	3	4	5
b) Unlike the existing 4% Sales & Use Tax, in which local governments get to keep only 30% of the tax revenues, local governments get to keep 99% of the 1% General Purpose Tax revenues. This is an important reason why 21 of 23 counties in Wyoming have approved the 1% General Purpose Tax.	1	2	3	4	5
c) The 1% General Purpose Tax would have a four-year renewal election in Park County. The tax would have to be approved by voters every four years for it to continue.	1	2	3	4	5
d) Revenues from a voter-approved 1% General Purpose Tax would be distributed based on size of population. For example, 43% of the tax revenues would go to Park County, 34% to Cody, 22% to Powell and 1% to Meeteetse.	1	2	3	4	5
e) There are 49 exemptions from the 1% General Purpose Tax, according to State Statute 39-15-105, including real estate purchases, food, fuel, fertilizer, livestock, feed and farm equipment.	1	2	3	4	5
f) Revenue from a voter-approved 1% General Purpose Tax would include sales tax generated inside Yellowstone National Park. In fact, the Wyoming Department of Revenue estimates that about 30% of the 1% General Purpose Tax in Park County would be paid by travelers from outside Park County.	1	2	3	4	5
g) For a \$10 purchase, the tax is an additional 10 cents. For a \$100 purchase, the tax is an additional \$1.00. Many residents consider this a reasonable amount to pay to protect critical infrastructure, property values and our ability to attract and retain local businesses in Park County.	1	2	3	4	5

Survey continued on back ►

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY *continued from inside*

**Q7.** If an election were held today, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax within Park County for infrastructure and infrastructure maintenance including, but not limited to, roads, streets, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers, bridges, water, sewer and electrical projects. **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes
- Probably Yes
- Probably No
- Definitely No
- Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q8.** What is your main concern, if any, regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal?

*That it won't go away! People are broke!*

**Q9.** Rather than a full one (1) percent sales and use tax, if Park County pursued a 1/2 of 1 percent General Purpose Sales & Use Tax and consequently reduced the number of infrastructure projects that it addressed, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) this proposal? **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes
- Probably Yes
- Probably No
- Definitely No
- Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q10.** If Park County pursued a 1% "Specific Purpose" Sales & Use Tax—rather than a 1% "General Purpose" Sales & Use Tax—to fund infrastructure and infrastructure maintenance including, but not limited to, roads, streets, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers, bridges, water, sewer and electrical projects, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) this proposal? (Refer to Fact Sheet for additional details regarding the difference between these two taxes.) **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes
- Probably Yes
- Probably No
- Definitely No
- Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q11.** What more would you like to know, or what question(s) would you like answered, about the proposed 1% General Purpose Tax?

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Q12.** What other community improvement projects, if any, should be included as part of the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal if pursued this fall?

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Q13.** How long have you been a resident in the area? **(Please check one)**

- 0-5 Years
- 6-10 Years
- 11-20 Years
- More Than 20 Years

**Q14.** What is your zip code? 82414

**Q15.** Do you live in town or out of town? **(Please check one)**

- In Town (*Inside the Incorporated Municipality*)
- Out of Town (*Outside the Incorporated Municipality*)
- Don't Know / Not Sure

**Q16.** What is your age? **(Please check one)**

- 18-24 Years
- 25-34 Years
- 35-44 Years
- 45-54
- 55-64
- Age 65 or Older

**Thank you for participating!**

**If you have questions about this survey, please contact:**

**City of Cody**  
Mayor & Council  
1338 Rumsey, PO Box 2200  
Cody, WY 82414-3713  
Phone: (307) 527-7511  
Fax: (307) 527-6532  
mayor@cityofcody.com  
www.cityofcody-wy.gov

**City of Powell**  
Mayor & Council  
270 N Clark St.  
Powell, Wyoming 82435  
Phone: (307) 754-5106  
admin@cityofpowell.com  
www.cityofpowell.com

**Town of Meeteetse**  
Mayor & Council  
2044 State Street, PO Box 38  
Meeteetse, Wyoming 82433  
Phone: 307-868-2278  
Fax: 307-868-2608  
meeteetse@tctwest.net  
www.townofmeeteetse.org

**Park County Commissioners**  
Park County Courthouse  
1002 Sheridan Ave.  
Cody, WY 82414  
Toll Free: 1(800)786-2844 ext 8510  
Fax: (307) 527-8515  
sromero@parkcounty.us  
www.parkcounty.us



BRIDGE IN PARK COUNTY



ROADWAY IN PARK COUNTY



CENTRAL AVENUE IN CODY



BEACON HILL ROAD IN CODY



STAMPEDE AVENUE IN CODY



ABSAROKA STREET IN POWELL



AVENUE B IN POWELL



WASTEWATER LAGOON IN MEETEETSE



WARREN STREET IN MEETEETSE

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY

Park County • City of Cody • City of Powell • Town of Meeteetse

MARCH 2016

## Where do we go from here?

In November 2012, almost four years ago, Park County voters turned down a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax (often referred to as the 1% *General Purpose Tax*, 1% *Optional Tax* or 5th Cent Tax). Proceeds of the 1% General Purpose Tax would have gone toward road and street improvements, water and sewer projects, bridge improvements and other infrastructure projects throughout Park County.

The infrastructure projects that would have been funded by the 1% General Purpose Tax have not gone away. In fact, the cost of many of these projects has increased. And to make things more challenging, the State of Wyoming has reduced funding to Wyoming counties and municipalities.

Given the need to address high priority infrastructure projects and ongoing infrastructure maintenance—coupled with the State's recent funding cuts—Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse are considering returning to the ballot with a 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. However, no action will be taken until you—our taxpayers—are given the opportunity to provide your thoughts and recommendations.

Following are the types of questions we'd like to get your input on as we evaluate how best to fund our highest priority infrastructure needs:

- What are your concerns, if any, regarding a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax?
- In your opinion, are there projects that should—or should not—be funded with this type of tax?
- Would you prefer to see a 1% Specific Purpose Tax on the ballot to address infrastructure needs rather than a 1% General Purpose Tax?

Before completing the attached survey, please take a few minutes to review the enclosed "Just The Facts" sheet, which provides additional information regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax and proposed use of proceeds.

Nothing is set in stone regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal until we gather additional community feedback. We sincerely mean that. We do not want to pursue another tax proposal if there is not going to be strong county-wide support from our residents.

**You have the final word. Always.**

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY

**Thank you for participating in this important Public Opinion Survey.** The results of this survey will play an important role in whether or not a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax is pursued this fall and the composition of that proposal, if pursued. Please review the following questions, review with other members of your household, and complete the survey. A self-addressed, postage-paid envelope is enclosed for your convenience. **All responses are anonymous. The results will be independently tallied and reported by a third-party firm. Please complete and return the survey by April 22, 2016. All surveys must be returned in the postage-paid reply envelope provided. Thank you for participating.**

## PLEASE REVIEW "JUST THE FACTS" DOCUMENT BEFORE COMPLETING SURVEY

**Q1.** Before receiving the enclosed information, how much had you read, seen or heard about Park County considering placing a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax on the November 2016 ballot? **(Please check one)**

- A lot  
 Some  
 Hardly anything  
 Nothing at all

**Q2.** Many residents are not familiar with the ins and outs of the 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax, including how the tax is calculated, the types of purchases that are exempt and the percentage of revenues that go back to local governments. Before receiving the enclosed information, how familiar were you with the details of this tax? **(Please check one)**

- A lot  
 Some  
 A Little  
 Nothing at all

**Q3.** There are a number of projects that the proposed 1% General Purpose Tax would address (as further detailed in the enclosed Fact Sheet). On a scale of 1 to 5, what priority should be placed on funding each of the following projects with a 1% General Purpose Tax? **(Please circle one number next to each item):**

	Low Priority	←————→			High Priority
a) Repairing Park County's bridges that are in the greatest need of improvements.	1	2	3	4	5
b) Repairing or replacing roadways in Park County to extend their useful life and enhance vehicle safety.	1	2	3	4	5
c) Constructing a water storage tank off of Beacon Hill in Cody to improve fire protection, water storage and water system maintenance.	1	2	3	4	5
d) Upgrading Cody's wastewater lagoon system, parts of the existing storm drainage system, and irrigation system improvements.	1	2	3	4	5
e) Completing ADA ramp projects throughout Cody to improve accessibility for persons with disabilities.	1	2	3	4	5
f) Reconstructing Beacon Hill Road and a section of Sheridan Avenue, as well as 29th Street curb, gutter and sidewalk improvements in Cody.	1	2	3	4	5
g) Improvements in Powell along Absaroka Street (from Third to Seventh), including rebuilding the water line; street widening; storm drains; curb, gutter and sidewalks; trees; and ADA projects.	1	2	3	4	5
h) Storm drainage improvements along Division Street in Powell—from Avenue E to Seventh Street—including ADA ramps at corners, as well as improvements to Grand Street (overlay), widening of A,B,C and D avenues, and repaving of Seventh Street (from Bernard to Division).	1	2	3	4	5
i) Water, sewer, street and solid waste management projects in Meeteetse, including 65-year-old water distribution line replacement along Hwy 290, water treatment plant and tank improvements, fire hydrant upgrades, pump station rehabilitation, storm drain and street chip sealing.	1	2	3	4	5

**Q4.** Some residents would like to see a 1% General Purpose Tax fund non-infrastructure projects. On a scale of 1 to 5, what priority should be placed on funding each of the following projects with a 1% General Purpose Tax? **(Please circle one number next to each item):**

	Low Priority	←————→			High Priority
a) Recreation-related projects like bike paths, ball fields, horse trails and skate parks.	1	2	3	4	5
b) Funding for community groups and organizations like senior citizen centers, food banks and Boys and Girls Clubs.	1	2	3	4	5
c) Endowments to go toward operating costs of swimming pools, recreation centers and similar facilities.	1	2	3	4	5

**Q5.** Following are some arguments people have made in favor of Park County's 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All Convincing" and 5 being "Very Convincing", how would you rate each of the following arguments as a reason to vote IN FAVOR of Park County's proposed 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

- |  | Not At All<br>Convincing | ←————→ |   |   | Very<br>Convincing |
|--|--------------------------|--------|---|---|--------------------|
| a) With the State of Wyoming cutting funding to Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse, revenues from a 1% General Purpose Tax are more important than ever to address high priority street, bridge, water, sewer and other infrastructure projects.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| b) Unlike the existing 4% Sales & Use Tax, in which local governments get to keep only 30% of the tax revenues, local governments get to keep 99% of the 1% General Purpose Tax revenues. This is an important reason why 21 of 23 counties in Wyoming have approved the 1% General Purpose Tax.     | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| c) The 1% General Purpose Tax would have a four-year renewal election in Park County. The tax would have to be approved by voters every four years for it to continue.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| d) Revenues from a voter-approved 1% General Purpose Tax would be distributed based on size of population. For example, 43% of the tax revenues would go to Park County, 34% to Cody, 22% to Powell and 1% to Meeteetse.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| e) There are 49 exemptions from the 1% General Purpose Tax, according to State Statute 39-15-105, including real estate purchases, food, fuel, fertilizer, livestock, feed and farm equipment.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| f) Revenue from a voter-approved 1% General Purpose Tax would include sales tax generated inside Yellowstone National Park. In fact, the Wyoming Department of Revenue estimates that about 30% of the 1% General Purpose Tax in Park County would be paid by travelers from outside Park County.    | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| g) For a \$10 purchase, the tax is an additional 10 cents. For a \$100 purchase, the tax is an additional \$1.00. Many residents consider this a reasonable amount to pay to protect critical infrastructure, property values and our ability to attract and retain local businesses in Park County. | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |

**Q6.** Following are some arguments people have made against Park County's 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All Convincing" and 5 being "Very Convincing", how would you rate each of the following arguments as a reason to vote AGAINST Park County's proposed 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

- |  | Not At All<br>Convincing | ←————→ |   |   | Very<br>Convincing |
|--|--------------------------|--------|---|---|--------------------|
| a) The State already has in place a 4% sales tax. Adding another 1% sales and use tax is a 25% increase. That's too much of a tax burden.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| b) With the oil and gas industry struggling statewide, now is not the time to be increasing taxes.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| c) Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse should be setting aside existing tax dollars to tackle large infrastructure projects, rather than raising taxes.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| d) Local retailers could be negatively impacted from a 1% sales and use tax increase as a result of fewer purchases being made within Park County.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| e) The 1% General Purpose Tax will cost the average household in Park County an additional \$80 per year. That amount of a tax increase will likely be a hardship for many low-income families and seniors on a fixed income.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| f) While voters in Park County would have the opportunity to vote on the 1% General Purpose Tax after it has been in place for four years, it's unlikely that it will be voted down once it's in place. Voters in other counties keep voting the tax in every four years.                          | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| g) It makes more sense for Park County to pursue a 1% Specific Purpose Tax rather than a 1% General Purpose Tax. The 1% Specific Purpose Tax goes away once the specific projects are fully funded. (Refer to Fact Sheet for additional details regarding the difference between these two taxes.) | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |

Survey continued on back ▶

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY *continued from inside*

**Q7.** If an election were held today, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax within Park County for infrastructure and infrastructure maintenance including, but not limited to, roads, streets, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers, bridges, water, sewer and electrical projects. **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes  
 Probably Yes  
 Probably No  
 Definitely No  
 Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q8.** What is your main concern, if any, regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal?

*that out of state companies would be hired to contract the work*

**Q9.** Rather than a full one (1) percent sales and use tax, if Park County pursued a 1/2 of 1 percent General Purpose Sales & Use Tax and consequently reduced the number of infrastructure projects that it addressed, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) this proposal? **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes  
 Probably Yes  
 Probably No  
 Definitely No  
 Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q10.** If Park County pursued a 1% "Specific Purpose" Sales & Use Tax—rather than a 1% "General Purpose" Sales & Use Tax—to fund infrastructure and infrastructure maintenance including, but not limited to, roads, streets, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers, bridges, water, sewer and electrical projects, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) this proposal? (Refer to Fact Sheet for additional details regarding the difference between these two taxes.) **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes  
 Probably Yes  
 Probably No  
 Definitely No  
 Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q11.** What more would you like to know, or what question(s) would you like answered, about the proposed 1% General Purpose Tax?

*Who would be contracted to do these improvements?  
It definitely should be Wyoming companies & workers*

**Q12.** What other community improvement projects, if any, should be included as part of the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal if pursued this fall?

**Q13.** How long have you been a resident in the area? **(Please check one)**

- 0-5 Years  
 6-10 Years  
 11-20 Years  
 More Than 20 Years

**Q14.** What is your zip code? *82435*

**Q15.** Do you live in town or out of town? **(Please check one)**

- In Town (Inside the Incorporated Municipality)  
 Out of Town (Outside the Incorporated Municipality)  
 Don't Know / Not Sure

**Q16.** What is your age? **(Please check one)**

- 18-24 Years  
 25-34 Years  
 35-44 Years  
 45-54  
 55-64  
 Age 65 or Older

**Thank you for participating!**

**If you have questions about this survey, please contact:**

**City of Cody**  
Mayor & Council  
1338 Rumsey, PO Box 2200  
Cody, WY 82414-3713  
Phone: (307) 527-7511  
Fax: (307) 527-6532  
mayor@cityofcody.com  
www.cityofcody-wy.gov

**City of Powell**  
Mayor & Council  
270 N Clark St.  
Powell, Wyoming 82435  
Phone: (307) 754-5106  
admin@cityofpowell.com  
www.cityofpowell.com

**Town of Meeteetse**  
Mayor & Council  
2044 State Street, PO Box 38  
Meeteetse, Wyoming 82433  
Phone: 307-868-2278  
Fax: 307-868-2608  
meeteetse@tctwest.net  
www.townofmeeteetse.org

**Park County Commissioners**  
Park County Courthouse  
1002 Sheridan Ave.  
Cody, WY 82414  
Toll Free: 1(800)786-2844 ext 8510  
Fax: (307) 527-8515  
sromero@parkcounty.us  
www.parkcounty.us



BRIDGE IN PARK COUNTY



ROADWAY IN PARK COUNTY



CENTRAL AVENUE IN CODY



BEACON HILL ROAD IN CODY



STAMPEDE AVENUE IN CODY



ABSAROKA STREET IN POWELL



AVENUE B IN POWELL



WASTEWATER LAGOON IN MEETEETSE



WARREN STREET IN MEETEETSE

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY

Park County • City of Cody • City of Powell • Town of Meeteetse

MARCH 2016

## Where do we go from here?

In November 2012, almost four years ago, Park County voters turned down a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax (often referred to as the 1% General Purpose Tax, 1% Optional Tax or 5th Cent Tax). Proceeds of the 1% General Purpose Tax would have gone toward road and street improvements, water and sewer projects, bridge improvements and other infrastructure projects throughout Park County.

The infrastructure projects that would have been funded by the 1% General Purpose Tax have not gone away. In fact, the cost of many of these projects has increased. And to make things more challenging, the State of Wyoming has reduced funding to Wyoming counties and municipalities.

Given the need to address high priority infrastructure projects and ongoing infrastructure maintenance—coupled with the State's recent funding cuts—Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse are considering returning to the ballot with a 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. However, no action will be taken until you—our taxpayers—are given the opportunity to provide your thoughts and recommendations.

Following are the types of questions we'd like to get your input on as we evaluate how best to fund our highest priority infrastructure needs:

- What are your concerns, if any, regarding a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax?
- In your opinion, are there projects that should—or should not—be funded with this type of tax?
- Would you prefer to see a 1% Specific Purpose Tax on the ballot to address infrastructure needs rather than a 1% General Purpose Tax?

Before completing the attached survey, please take a few minutes to review the enclosed "Just The Facts" sheet, which provides additional information regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax and proposed use of proceeds.

Nothing is set in stone regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal until we gather additional community feedback. We sincerely mean that. We do not want to pursue another tax proposal if there is not going to be strong county-wide support from our residents.

**You have the final word. Always.**

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY

**Thank you for participating in this important Public Opinion Survey.** The results of this survey will play an important role in whether or not a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax is pursued this fall and the composition of that proposal, if pursued. Please review the following questions, review with other members of your household, and complete the survey. A self-addressed, postage-paid envelope is enclosed for your convenience. **All responses are anonymous. The results will be independently tallied and reported by a third-party firm. Please complete and return the survey by April 22, 2016. All surveys must be returned in the postage-paid reply envelope provided. Thank you for participating.**

## PLEASE REVIEW "JUST THE FACTS" DOCUMENT BEFORE COMPLETING SURVEY

**Q1.** Before receiving the enclosed information, how much had you read, seen or heard about Park County considering placing a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax on the November 2016 ballot? **(Please check one)**

- A lot  
 Some  
 Hardly anything  
 Nothing at all

**Q2.** Many residents are not familiar with the ins and outs of the 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax, including how the tax is calculated, the types of purchases that are exempt and the percentage of revenues that go back to local governments. Before receiving the enclosed information, how familiar were you with the details of this tax? **(Please check one)**

- A lot  
 Some  
 A Little  
 Nothing at all

**Q3.** There are a number of projects that the proposed 1% General Purpose Tax would address (as further detailed in the enclosed Fact Sheet). On a scale of 1 to 5, what priority should be placed on funding each of the following projects with a 1% General Purpose Tax? **(Please circle one number next to each item):**

	Low Priority	←————→			High Priority
a) Repairing Park County's bridges that are in the greatest need of improvements.	1	2	3	4	5
b) Repairing or replacing roadways in Park County to extend their useful life and enhance vehicle safety.	1	2	3	4	5
c) Constructing a water storage tank off of Beacon Hill in Cody to improve fire protection, water storage and water system maintenance.	1	2	3	4	5
d) Upgrading Cody's wastewater lagoon system, parts of the existing storm drainage system, and irrigation system improvements.	1	2	3	4	5
e) Completing ADA ramp projects throughout Cody to improve accessibility for persons with disabilities.	1	2	3	4	5
f) Reconstructing Beacon Hill Road and a section of Sheridan Avenue, as well as 29th Street curb, gutter and sidewalk improvements in Cody.	1	2	3	4	5
g) Improvements in Powell along Absaroka Street (from Third to Seventh), including rebuilding the water line; street widening; storm drains; curb, gutter and sidewalks; trees; and ADA projects.	1	2	3	4	5
h) Storm drainage improvements along Division Street in Powell—from Avenue E to Seventh Street—including ADA ramps at corners, as well as improvements to Grand Street (overlay), widening of A,B,C and D avenues, and repaving of Seventh Street (from Bernard to Division).	1	2	3	4	5
i) Water, sewer, street and solid waste management projects in Meeteetse, including 65-year-old water distribution line replacement along Hwy 290, water treatment plant and tank improvements, fire hydrant upgrades, pump station rehabilitation, storm drain and street chip sealing.	1	2	3	4	5

**Q4.** Some residents would like to see a 1% General Purpose Tax fund non-infrastructure projects. On a scale of 1 to 5, what priority should be placed on funding each of the following projects with a 1% General Purpose Tax? **(Please circle one number next to each item):**

	Low Priority	←————→			High Priority
a) Recreation-related projects like bike paths, ball fields, horse trails and skate parks.	1	2	3	4	5
b) Funding for community groups and organizations like senior citizen centers, food banks and Boys and Girls Clubs.	1	2	3	4	5
c) Endowments to go toward operating costs of swimming pools, recreation centers and similar facilities.	1	2	3	4	5

*these should be paying for them selves*

**Q5.** Following are some arguments people have made against Park County's 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All Convincing" and 5 being "Very Convincing", how would you rate each of the following arguments as a reason to vote AGAINST Park County's proposed 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

- |  | Not At All<br>Convincing | ←————→ |   |   | Very<br>Convincing |
|--|--------------------------|--------|---|---|--------------------|
| a) The State already has in place a 4% sales tax. Adding another 1% sales and use tax is a 25% increase. That's too much of a tax burden.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| b) With the oil and gas industry struggling statewide, now is not the time to be increasing taxes.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| c) Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse should be setting aside existing tax dollars to tackle large infrastructure projects, rather than raising taxes.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| d) Local retailers could be negatively impacted from a 1% sales and use tax increase as a result of fewer purchases being made within Park County.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| e) The 1% General Purpose Tax will cost the average household in Park County an additional \$80 per year. That amount of a tax increase will likely be a hardship for many low-income families and seniors on a fixed income.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| f) While voters in Park County would have the opportunity to vote on the 1% General Purpose Tax after it has been in place for four years, it's unlikely that it will be voted down once it's in place. Voters in other counties keep voting the tax in every four years.                          | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| g) It makes more sense for Park County to pursue a 1% Specific Purpose Tax rather than a 1% General Purpose Tax. The 1% Specific Purpose Tax goes away once the specific projects are fully funded. (Refer to Fact Sheet for additional details regarding the difference between these two taxes.) | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |

**Q6.** Following are some arguments people have made in favor of Park County's 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All Convincing" and 5 being "Very Convincing", how would you rate each of the following arguments as a reason to vote IN FAVOR of Park County's proposed 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

- |  | Not At All<br>Convincing | ←————→ |   |   | Very<br>Convincing |
|--|--------------------------|--------|---|---|--------------------|
| a) With the State of Wyoming cutting funding to Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse, revenues from a 1% General Purpose Tax are more important than ever to address high priority street, bridge, water, sewer and other infrastructure projects.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| b) Unlike the existing 4% Sales & Use Tax, in which local governments get to keep only 30% of the tax revenues, local governments get to keep 99% of the 1% General Purpose Tax revenues. This is an important reason why 21 of 23 counties in Wyoming have approved the 1% General Purpose Tax.     | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| c) The 1% General Purpose Tax would have a four-year renewal election in Park County. The tax would have to be approved by voters every four years for it to continue.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| d) Revenues from a voter-approved 1% General Purpose Tax would be distributed based on size of population. For example, 43% of the tax revenues would go to Park County, 34% to Cody, 22% to Powell and 1% to Meeteetse.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| e) There are 49 exemptions from the 1% General Purpose Tax, according to State Statute 39-15-105, including real estate purchases, food, fuel, fertilizer, livestock, feed and farm equipment.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| f) Revenue from a voter-approved 1% General Purpose Tax would include sales tax generated inside Yellowstone National Park. In fact, the Wyoming Department of Revenue estimates that about 30% of the 1% General Purpose Tax in Park County would be paid by travelers from outside Park County.    | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| g) For a \$10 purchase, the tax is an additional 10 cents. For a \$100 purchase, the tax is an additional \$1.00. Many residents consider this a reasonable amount to pay to protect critical infrastructure, property values and our ability to attract and retain local businesses in Park County. | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY *continued from inside*

**Q7.** If an election were held today, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax within Park County for infrastructure and infrastructure maintenance including, but not limited to, roads, streets, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers, bridges, water, sewer and electrical projects. **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes  
 Probably Yes  
 Probably No  
 Definitely No  
 Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q8.** What is your main concern, if any, regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal?

*Another tax with no COLA RAISES  
Cannot Afford any more - We  
are taxed to death now.*

**Q9.** Rather than a full one (1) percent sales and use tax, if Park County pursued a 1/2 of 1 percent General Purpose Sales & Use Tax and consequently reduced the number of infrastructure projects that it addressed, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) this proposal? **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes  
 Probably Yes  
 Probably No  
 Definitely No  
 Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q10.** If Park County pursued a 1% "Specific Purpose" Sales & Use Tax—rather than a 1% "General Purpose" Sales & Use Tax—to fund infrastructure and infrastructure maintenance including, but not limited to, roads, streets, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers, bridges, water, sewer and electrical projects, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) this proposal? (Refer to Fact Sheet for additional details regarding the difference between these two taxes.) **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes  
 Probably Yes  
 Probably No  
 Definitely No  
 Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q11.** What more would you like to know, or what question(s) would you like answered, about the proposed 1% General Purpose Tax?

**Q12.** What other community improvement projects, if any, should be included as part of the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal if pursued this fall?

**Q13.** How long have you been a resident in the area? **(Please check one)**

- 0-5 Years  
 6-10 Years  
 11-20 Years  
 More Than 20 Years

**Q14.** What is your zip code? 82435

**Q15.** Do you live in town or out of town? **(Please check one)**

- In Town (*Inside the Incorporated Municipality*)  
 Out of Town (*Outside the Incorporated Municipality*)  
 Don't Know / Not Sure

**Q16.** What is your age? **(Please check one)**

- 18-24 Years  
 25-34 Years  
 35-44 Years  
 45-54  
 55-64  
 Age 65 or Older

**Thank you for participating!**

**If you have questions about this survey, please contact:**

**City of Cody**  
Mayor & Council  
1338 Rumsey, PO Box 2200  
Cody, WY 82414-3713  
Phone: (307) 527-7511  
Fax: (307) 527-6532  
mayor@cityofcody.com  
www.cityofcody-wy.gov

**City of Powell**  
Mayor & Council  
270 N Clark St.  
Powell, Wyoming 82435  
Phone: (307) 754-5106  
admin@cityofpowell.com  
www.cityofpowell.com

**Town of Meeteetse**  
Mayor & Council  
2044 State Street, PO Box 38  
Meeteetse, Wyoming 82433  
Phone: 307-868-2278  
Fax: 307-868-2608  
meeteetse@tctwest.net  
www.townofmeeteetse.org

**Park County Commissioners**  
Park County Courthouse  
1002 Sheridan Ave.  
Cody, WY 82414  
Toll Free: 1(800)786-2844 ext 8510  
Fax: (307) 527-8515  
sromero@parkcounty.us  
www.parkcounty.us



BRIDGE IN PARK COUNTY



ROADWAY IN PARK COUNTY



CENTRAL AVENUE IN CODY



BEACON HILL ROAD IN CODY



STAMPEDE AVENUE IN CODY




ABSAROKA STREET IN POWELL



AVENUE B IN POWELL



WASTEWATER LAGOON IN MEETEETSE



WARREN STREET IN MEETEETSE

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY

Park County • City of Cody • City of Powell • Town of Meeteetse

MARCH 2016

## Where do we go from here?

In November 2012, almost four years ago, Park County voters turned down a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax (often referred to as the 1% *General Purpose Tax*, 1% *Optional Tax* or 5th *Cent Tax*). Proceeds of the 1% General Purpose Tax would have gone toward road and street improvements, water and sewer projects, bridge improvements and other infrastructure projects throughout Park County.

The infrastructure projects that would have been funded by the 1% General Purpose Tax have not gone away. In fact, the cost of many of these projects has increased. And to make things more challenging, the State of Wyoming has reduced funding to Wyoming counties and municipalities.

Given the need to address high priority infrastructure projects and ongoing infrastructure maintenance—coupled with the State's recent funding cuts—Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse are considering returning to the ballot with a 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. However, no action will be taken until you—our taxpayers—are given the opportunity to provide your thoughts and recommendations.

Following are the types of questions we'd like to get your input on as we evaluate how best to fund our highest priority infrastructure needs:

- What are your concerns, if any, regarding a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax?
- In your opinion, are there projects that should—or should not—be funded with this type of tax?
- Would you prefer to see a 1% Specific Purpose Tax on the ballot to address infrastructure needs rather than a 1% General Purpose Tax?

Before completing the attached survey, please take a few minutes to review the enclosed "Just The Facts" sheet, which provides additional information regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax and proposed use of proceeds.

Nothing is set in stone regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal until we gather additional community feedback. We sincerely mean that. We do not want to pursue another tax proposal if there is not going to be strong county-wide support from our residents.

**You have the final word. Always.**

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY

Thank you for participating in this important Public Opinion Survey. The results of this survey will play an important role in whether or not a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax is pursued this fall and the composition of that proposal, if pursued. Please review the following questions, review with other members of your household, and complete the survey. A self-addressed, postage-paid envelope is enclosed for your convenience. **All responses are anonymous. The results will be independently tallied and reported by a third-party firm. Please complete and return the survey by April 22, 2016. All surveys must be returned in the postage-paid reply envelope provided. Thank you for participating.**

## PLEASE REVIEW "JUST THE FACTS" DOCUMENT BEFORE COMPLETING SURVEY

**Q1.** Before receiving the enclosed information, how much had you read, seen or heard about Park County considering placing a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax on the November 2016 ballot? **(Please check one)**

- A lot  
 Some  
 Hardly anything  
 Nothing at all

**Q2.** Many residents are not familiar with the ins and outs of the 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax, including how the tax is calculated, the types of purchases that are exempt and the percentage of revenues that go back to local governments. Before receiving the enclosed information, how familiar were you with the details of this tax? **(Please check one)**

- A lot  
 Some  
 A Little  
 Nothing at all

**Q3.** There are a number of projects that the proposed 1% General Purpose Tax would address (as further detailed in the enclosed Fact Sheet). On a scale of 1 to 5, what priority should be placed on funding each of the following projects with a 1% General Purpose Tax? **(Please circle one number next to each item):**

	Low Priority	←————→			High Priority
a) Repairing Park County's bridges that are in the greatest need of improvements.	✓	2	3	4	5
b) Repairing or replacing roadways in Park County to extend their useful life and enhance vehicle safety.	1	2	3	4	5
c) Constructing a water storage tank off of Beacon Hill in Cody to improve fire protection, water storage and water system maintenance.	4	2	3	4	5
d) Upgrading Cody's wastewater lagoon system, parts of the existing storm drainage system, and irrigation system improvements.	1	2	3	4	5
e) Completing ADA ramp projects throughout Cody to improve accessibility for persons with disabilities.	1	2	3	4	5
f) Reconstructing Beacon Hill Road and a section of Sheridan Avenue, as well as 29th Street curb, gutter and sidewalk improvements in Cody.	1	2	3	4	5
g) Improvements in Powell along Absaroka Street (from Third to Seventh), including rebuilding the water line; street widening; storm drains; curb, gutter and sidewalks; trees; and ADA projects.	1	2	3	4	5
h) Storm drainage improvements along Division Street in Powell—from Avenue E to Seventh Street—including ADA ramps at corners, as well as improvements to Grand Street (overlay), widening of A,B,C and D avenues, and repaving of Seventh Street (from Bernard to Division).	1	2	3	4	5
i) Water, sewer, street and solid waste management projects in Meeteetse, including 65-year-old water distribution line replacement along Hwy 290, water treatment plant and tank improvements, fire hydrant upgrades, pump station rehabilitation, storm drain and street chip sealing.	1	2	3	4	5

**Q4.** Some residents would like to see a 1% General Purpose Tax fund non-infrastructure projects. On a scale of 1 to 5, what priority should be placed on funding each of the following projects with a 1% General Purpose Tax? **(Please circle one number next to each item):**

	Low Priority	←————→			High Priority
a) Recreation-related projects like bike paths, ball fields, horse trails and skate parks.	1	2	3	4	5
b) Funding for community groups and organizations like senior citizen centers, food banks and Boys and Girls Clubs.	1	2	3	4	5
c) Endowments to go toward operating costs of swimming pools, recreation centers and similar facilities.	1	2	3	4	5

**Q5.** Following are some arguments people have made in favor of Park County's 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All Convincing" and 5 being "Very Convincing", how would you rate each of the following arguments as a reason to vote IN FAVOR of Park County's proposed 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

- |  | Not At All<br>Convincing | ←————→ |   |   | Very<br>Convincing |
|--|--------------------------|--------|---|---|--------------------|
| a) With the State of Wyoming cutting funding to Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse, revenues from a 1% General Purpose Tax are more important than ever to address high priority street, bridge, water, sewer and other infrastructure projects.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| b) Unlike the existing 4% Sales & Use Tax, in which local governments get to keep only 30% of the tax revenues, local governments get to keep 99% of the 1% General Purpose Tax revenues. This is an important reason why 21 of 23 counties in Wyoming have approved the 1% General Purpose Tax.     | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| c) The 1% General Purpose Tax would have a four-year renewal election in Park County. The tax would have to be approved by voters every four years for it to continue.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| d) Revenues from a voter-approved 1% General Purpose Tax would be distributed based on size of population. For example, 43% of the tax revenues would go to Park County, 34% to Cody, 22% to Powell and 1% to Meeteetse.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| e) There are 49 exemptions from the 1% General Purpose Tax, according to State Statute 39-15-105, including real estate purchases, food, fuel, fertilizer, livestock, feed and farm equipment.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| f) Revenue from a voter-approved 1% General Purpose Tax would include sales tax generated inside Yellowstone National Park. In fact, the Wyoming Department of Revenue estimates that about 30% of the 1% General Purpose Tax in Park County would be paid by travelers from outside Park County.    | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| g) For a \$10 purchase, the tax is an additional 10 cents. For a \$100 purchase, the tax is an additional \$1.00. Many residents consider this a reasonable amount to pay to protect critical infrastructure, property values and our ability to attract and retain local businesses in Park County. | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |

**Q6.** Following are some arguments people have made against Park County's 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All Convincing" and 5 being "Very Convincing", how would you rate each of the following arguments as a reason to vote AGAINST Park County's proposed 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

- |  | Not At All<br>Convincing | ←————→ |   |   | Very<br>Convincing |
|--|--------------------------|--------|---|---|--------------------|
| a) The State already has in place a 4% sales tax. Adding another 1% sales and use tax is a 25% increase. That's too much of a tax burden.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| b) With the oil and gas industry struggling statewide, now is not the time to be increasing taxes.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| c) Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse should be setting aside existing tax dollars to tackle large infrastructure projects, rather than raising taxes.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| d) Local retailers could be negatively impacted from a 1% sales and use tax increase as a result of fewer purchases being made within Park County.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| e) The 1% General Purpose Tax will cost the average household in Park County an additional \$80 per year. That amount of a tax increase will likely be a hardship for many low-income families and seniors on a fixed income.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| f) While voters in Park County would have the opportunity to vote on the 1% General Purpose Tax after it has been in place for four years, it's unlikely that it will be voted down once it's in place. Voters in other counties keep voting the tax in every four years.                          | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| g) It makes more sense for Park County to pursue a 1% Specific Purpose Tax rather than a 1% General Purpose Tax. The 1% Specific Purpose Tax goes away once the specific projects are fully funded. (Refer to Fact Sheet for additional details regarding the difference between these two taxes.) | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |

Survey continued on back ▶

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY *continued from inside*

**Q7.** If an election were held today, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax within Park County for infrastructure and infrastructure maintenance including, but not limited to, roads, streets, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers, bridges, water, sewer and electrical projects. **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes  
 Probably Yes  
 Probably No  
 Definitely No  
 Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q8.** What is your main concern, if any, regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal?

Swimming Pool Fees

**Q9.** Rather than a full one (1) percent sales and use tax, if Park County pursued a 1/2 of 1 percent General Purpose Sales & Use Tax and consequently reduced the number of infrastructure projects that it addressed, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) this proposal? **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes  
 Probably Yes  
 Probably No  
 Definitely No  
 Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q10.** If Park County pursued a 1% "Specific Purpose" Sales & Use Tax—rather than a 1% "General Purpose" Sales & Use Tax—to fund infrastructure and infrastructure maintenance including, but not limited to, roads, streets, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers, bridges, water, sewer and electrical projects, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) this proposal? (Refer to Fact Sheet for additional details regarding the difference between these two taxes.) **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes  
 Probably Yes  
 Probably No  
 Definitely No  
 Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q11.** What more would you like to know, or what question(s) would you like answered, about the proposed 1% General Purpose Tax?

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Q12.** What other community improvement projects, if any, should be included as part of the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal if pursued this fall?

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Q13.** How long have you been a resident in the area? **(Please check one)**

- 0-5 Years  
 6-10 Years  
 11-20 Years  
 More Than 20 Years

**Q14.** What is your zip code? 82435

**Q15.** Do you live in town or out of town? **(Please check one)**

- In Town (*Inside the Incorporated Municipality*)  
 Out of Town (*Outside the Incorporated Municipality*)  
 Don't Know / Not Sure

**Q16.** What is your age? **(Please check one)**

- 18-24 Years  
 25-34 Years  
 35-44 Years  
 45-54  
 55-64  
 Age 65 or Older

**Thank you for participating!**

**If you have questions about this survey, please contact:**

**City of Cody**  
Mayor & Council  
1338 Rumsey, PO Box 2200  
Cody, WY 82414-3713  
Phone: (307) 527-7511  
Fax: (307) 527-6532  
mayor@cityofcody.com  
www.cityofcody-wy.gov

**City of Powell**  
Mayor & Council  
270 N Clark St.  
Powell, Wyoming 82435  
Phone: (307) 754-5106  
admin@cityofpowell.com  
www.cityofpowell.com

**Town of Meeteetse**  
Mayor & Council  
2044 State Street, PO Box 38  
Meeteetse, Wyoming 82433  
Phone: 307-868-2278  
Fax: 307-868-2608  
meeteetse@tctwest.net  
www.townofmeeteetse.org

**Park County Commissioners**  
Park County Courthouse  
1002 Sheridan Ave.  
Cody, WY 82414  
Toll Free: 1(800)786-2844 ext 8510  
Fax: (307) 527-8515  
sromero@parkcounty.us  
www.parkcounty.us



BRIDGE IN PARK COUNTY



ROADWAY IN PARK COUNTY



CENTRAL AVENUE IN CODY



BEACON HILL ROAD IN CODY



STAMPEDE AVENUE IN CODY



ABSAROKA STREET IN POWELL



AVENUE B IN POWELL



WASTEWATER LAGOON IN MEETEETSE



WARREN STREET IN MEETEETSE

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY

Park County • City of Cody • City of Powell • Town of Meeteetse

MARCH 2016

## Where do we go from here?

In November 2012, almost four years ago, Park County voters turned down a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax (often referred to as the 1% *General Purpose Tax*, 1% *Optional Tax* or 5th *Cent Tax*). Proceeds of the 1% General Purpose Tax would have gone toward road and street improvements, water and sewer projects, bridge improvements and other infrastructure projects throughout Park County.

The infrastructure projects that would have been funded by the 1% General Purpose Tax have not gone away. In fact, the cost of many of these projects has increased. And to make things more challenging, the State of Wyoming has reduced funding to Wyoming counties and municipalities.

Given the need to address high priority infrastructure projects and ongoing infrastructure maintenance—coupled with the State's recent funding cuts—Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse are considering returning to the ballot with a 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. However, no action will be taken until you—our taxpayers—are given the opportunity to provide your thoughts and recommendations.

Following are the types of questions we'd like to get your input on as we evaluate how best to fund our highest priority infrastructure needs:

- **What are your concerns, if any, regarding a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax?**
- **In your opinion, are there projects that should—or should not—be funded with this type of tax?**
- **Would you prefer to see a 1% Specific Purpose Tax on the ballot to address infrastructure needs rather than a 1% General Purpose Tax?**

Before completing the attached survey, please take a few minutes to review the enclosed "Just The Facts" sheet, which provides additional information regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax and proposed use of proceeds.

Nothing is set in stone regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal until we gather additional community feedback. We sincerely mean that. We do not want to pursue another tax proposal if there is not going to be strong county-wide support from our residents.

**You have the final word. Always.**

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY

**Thank you for participating in this important Public Opinion Survey.** The results of this survey will play an important role in whether or not a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax is pursued this fall and the composition of that proposal, if pursued. Please review the following questions, review with other members of your household, and complete the survey. A self-addressed, postage-paid envelope is enclosed for your convenience. **All responses are anonymous. The results will be independently tallied and reported by a third-party firm. Please complete and return the survey by April 22, 2016. All surveys must be returned in the postage-paid reply envelope provided. Thank you for participating.**

## PLEASE REVIEW "JUST THE FACTS" DOCUMENT BEFORE COMPLETING SURVEY

**Q1.** Before receiving the enclosed information, how much had you read, seen or heard about Park County considering placing a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax on the November 2016 ballot? **(Please check one)**

- A lot  
 Some  
 Hardly anything  
 Nothing at all

**Q2.** Many residents are not familiar with the ins and outs of the 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax, including how the tax is calculated, the types of purchases that are exempt and the percentage of revenues that go back to local governments. Before receiving the enclosed information, how familiar were you with the details of this tax? **(Please check one)**

- A lot  
 Some  
 A Little  
 Nothing at all

**Q3.** There are a number of projects that the proposed 1% General Purpose Tax would address (as further detailed in the enclosed Fact Sheet). On a scale of 1 to 5, what priority should be placed on funding each of the following projects with a 1% General Purpose Tax? **(Please circle one number next to each item):**

	Low Priority	←————→			High Priority
a) Repairing Park County's bridges that are in the greatest need of improvements.	(1)	2	3	4	5
b) Repairing or replacing roadways in Park County to extend their useful life and enhance vehicle safety.	(1)	2	3	4	5
c) Constructing a water storage tank off of Beacon Hill in Cody to improve fire protection, water storage and water system maintenance.	(1)	2	3	4	5
d) Upgrading Cody's wastewater lagoon system, parts of the existing storm drainage system, and irrigation system improvements.	(1)	2	3	4	5
e) Completing ADA ramp projects throughout Cody to improve accessibility for persons with disabilities.	(1)	2	3	4	5
f) Reconstructing Beacon Hill Road and a section of Sheridan Avenue, as well as 29th Street curb, gutter and sidewalk improvements in Cody.	(1)	2	3	4	5
g) Improvements in Powell along Absaroka Street (from Third to Seventh), including rebuilding the water line; street widening; storm drains; curb, gutter and sidewalks; trees; and ADA projects.	1	2	(3)	4	5
h) Storm drainage improvements along Division Street in Powell—from Avenue E to Seventh Street—including ADA ramps at corners, as well as improvements to Grand Street (overlay), widening of A,B,C and D avenues, and repaving of Seventh Street (from Bernard to Division).	(1)	2	3	4	5
i) Water, sewer, street and solid waste management projects in Meeteetse, including 65-year-old water distribution line replacement along Hwy 290, water treatment plant and tank improvements, fire hydrant upgrades, pump station rehabilitation, storm drain and street chip sealing.	1	2	(3)	4	5

**Q4.** Some residents would like to see a 1% General Purpose Tax fund non-infrastructure projects. On a scale of 1 to 5, what priority should be placed on funding each of the following projects with a 1% General Purpose Tax? **(Please circle one number next to each item):**

	Low Priority	←————→			High Priority
a) Recreation-related projects like bike paths, ball fields, horse trails and skate parks.	(1)	2	3	4	5
b) Funding for community groups and organizations like senior citizen centers, food banks and Boys and Girls Clubs.	1	2	(3)	4	5
c) Endowments to go toward operating costs of swimming pools, recreation centers and similar facilities.	(1)	2	3	4	5

**Q5.** Following are some arguments people have made against Park County's 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All Convincing" and 5 being "Very Convincing", how would you rate each of the following arguments as a reason to vote AGAINST Park County's proposed 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

Not At All Convincing ←————→ Very Convincing

- |  |   |   |   |   |   |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| a) The State already has in place a 4% sales tax. Adding another 1% sales and use tax is a 25% increase. That's too much of a tax burden.  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| b) With the oil and gas industry struggling statewide, now is not the time to be increasing taxes.   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| c) Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse should be setting aside existing tax dollars to tackle large infrastructure projects, rather than raising taxes.  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| d) Local retailers could be negatively impacted from a 1% sales and use tax increase as a result of fewer purchases being made within Park County.   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| e) The 1% General Purpose Tax will cost the average household in Park County an additional \$80 per year. That amount of a tax increase will likely be a hardship for many low-income families and seniors on a fixed income.  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| f) While voters in Park County would have the opportunity to vote on the 1% General Purpose Tax after it has been in place for four years, it's unlikely that it will be voted down once it's in place. Voters in other counties keep voting the tax in every four years.                          | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| g) It makes more sense for Park County to pursue a 1% Specific Purpose Tax rather than a 1% General Purpose Tax. The 1% Specific Purpose Tax goes away once the specific projects are fully funded. (Refer to Fact Sheet for additional details regarding the difference between these two taxes.) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

**Q6.** Following are some arguments people have made in favor of Park County's 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All Convincing" and 5 being "Very Convincing", how would you rate each of the following arguments as a reason to vote IN FAVOR of Park County's proposed 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

Not At All Convincing ←————→ Very Convincing

- |  |   |   |   |   |   |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| a) With the State of Wyoming cutting funding to Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse, revenues from a 1% General Purpose Tax are more important than ever to address high priority street, bridge, water, sewer and other infrastructure projects.  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| b) Unlike the existing 4% Sales & Use Tax, in which local governments get to keep only 30% of the tax revenues, local governments get to keep 99% of the 1% General Purpose Tax revenues. This is an important reason why 21 of 23 counties in Wyoming have approved the 1% General Purpose Tax.     | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| c) The 1% General Purpose Tax would have a four-year renewal election in Park County. The tax would have to be approved by voters every four years for it to continue.   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| d) Revenues from a voter-approved 1% General Purpose Tax would be distributed based on size of population. For example, 43% of the tax revenues would go to Park County, 34% to Cody, 22% to Powell and 1% to Meeteetse.   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| e) There are 49 exemptions from the 1% General Purpose Tax, according to State Statute 39-15-105, including real estate purchases, food, fuel, fertilizer, livestock, feed and farm equipment.   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| f) Revenue from a voter-approved 1% General Purpose Tax would include sales tax generated inside Yellowstone National Park. In fact, the Wyoming Department of Revenue estimates that about 30% of the 1% General Purpose Tax in Park County would be paid by travelers from outside Park County.    | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| g) For a \$10 purchase, the tax is an additional 10 cents. For a \$100 purchase, the tax is an additional \$1.00. Many residents consider this a reasonable amount to pay to protect critical infrastructure, property values and our ability to attract and retain local businesses in Park County. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY *continued from inside*

**Q7.** If an election were held today, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax within Park County for infrastructure and infrastructure maintenance including, but not limited to, roads, streets, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers, bridges, water, sewer and electrical projects. **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes  
 Probably Yes  
 Probably No  
 Definitely No  
 Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q8.** What is your main concern, if any, regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal?

you cant give a poliction  
More than they can spend  
See related article

**Q9.** Rather than a full one (1) percent sales and use tax, if Park County pursued a 1/2 of 1 percent General Purpose Sales & Use Tax and consequently reduced the number of infrastructure projects that it addressed, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) this proposal? **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes  
 Probably Yes  
 Probably No  
 Definitely No  
 Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q10.** If Park County pursued a 1% "Specific Purpose" Sales & Use Tax—rather than a 1% "General Purpose" Sales & Use Tax—to fund infrastructure and infrastructure maintenance including, but not limited to, roads, streets, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers, bridges, water, sewer and electrical projects, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) this proposal? (Refer to Fact Sheet for additional details regarding the difference between these two taxes.) **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes  
 Probably Yes  
 Probably No  
 Definitely No  
 Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q11.** What more would you like to know, or what question(s) would you like answered, about the proposed 1% General Purpose Tax?

None

**Q12.** What other community improvement projects, if any, should be included as part of the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal if pursued this fall?

None

**Q13.** How long have you been a resident in the area? **(Please check one)**

- 0-5 Years  
 6-10 Years  
 11-20 Years  
 More Than 20 Years

**Q14.** What is your zip code? 82435

**Q15.** Do you live in town or out of town? **(Please check one)**

- In Town (*Inside the Incorporated Municipality*)  
 Out of Town (*Outside the Incorporated Municipality*)  
 Don't Know / Not Sure

**Q16.** What is your age? **(Please check one)**

- 18-24 Years  
 25-34 Years  
 35-44 Years  
 45-54  
 55-64  
 Age 65 or Older

**Thank you for participating!**

**If you have questions about this survey, please contact:**

**City of Cody**

Mayor & Council  
1338 Rumsey, PO Box 2200  
Cody, WY 82414-3713  
Phone: (307) 527-7511  
Fax: (307) 527-6532  
mayor@cityofcody.com  
www.cityofcody-wy.gov

**City of Powell**

Mayor & Council  
270 N Clark St.  
Powell, Wyoming 82435  
Phone: (307) 754-5106  
admin@cityofpowell.com  
www.cityofpowell.com

**Town of Meeteetse**

Mayor & Council  
2044 State Street, PO Box 38  
Meeteetse, Wyoming 82433  
Phone: 307-868-2278  
Fax: 307-868-2608  
meeteetse@tctwest.net  
www.townofmeeteetse.org

**Park County Commissioners**

Park County Courthouse  
1002 Sheridan Ave.  
Cody, WY 82414  
Toll Free: 1(800)786-2844 ext 8510  
Fax: (307) 527-8515  
sromero@parkcounty.us  
www.parkcounty.us

# Budget: Commissioners approve six projects

Continued from Page 1

so much of the state money.

"I don't think the state's going to rush in and take money that's allotted to us. It seems like we're just grasping at ways to spend this money," Commission Chairman Tim French said at one point.

Commissioner Joe Tilden wondered if the county should be saving more of the state money for future projects.

The majority of the commissioners, however, saw these six projects as necessary:

- \$594,000 to remove a part of a hill on the Southfork Road (County Road 6WX). That's roughly \$250,000 more than had been in the road and bridge budget, said Park County Engineer Brian Edwards.

The project serves two purposes: first, contractor AM Dirtworks will grade the hill and clear the way for that section of road to be straightened out. It lies about 16 miles outside of Cody, on Andy Martin Hill, and "when the snow and ice get bad, it's a pretty bad hill," Edwards said.

Secondly, he said the soil being carved out of the hill will net the county about 128,000 tons of crushed gravel and chips — likely enough to last for a few years.

The county has agreed to realign the road by 2019 as part of a deal with a neighboring landowner.

- \$301,821 to convert the county's old paper and microfilm records to digital and electronically searchable ones. The project has ended up well over the budget estimate of \$170,000.

In January, the county signed a \$211,320 contract with the Minnesota-based firm ArcaSearch to convert some 400,000

old pages and microfilm images to digital records. However, it was later discovered that the county had mistakenly left out the records from 1976 to 1995 — some 250,000 more pages. Adding in those records will cost an extra \$90,501.

When the county explained the project to ArcaSearch, "we basically went with what the last administration put together and I should have started over from scratch," Clerk Colleen Renner said of leaving out the documents.

County officials believed the revised price was fair and that ArcaSearch remained the best and cheapest contractor for the job.

- \$120,000 to re-do the deteriorated employee parking lot south of the county courthouse. A total of \$100,000 had already been budgeted.

Commissioners all agreed the project needs to be done, but differed on whether to budget another \$20,000. That's to potentially reshape the lot to allow it to be more easily cleared of snow.

Commissioners Lee Livingston and Tilden wanted to leave the funding at \$100,000, but commissioners French, Grosskopf and Bucky Hall wanted the option to potentially reshape the lot.

- \$100,000 to replace the radios in Park County Sheriff's deputies' squad cars; the new equipment hadn't been in the budget.

Park County Chief Information Officer Mike Conners said the 20 current radios "have been failing and are continuing to fail" with replacement parts no longer available. They've got an estimated life of seven to eight years and are now between 12 and 14 years old, he said.

Grosskopf said it could become a safety concern.

"I want to get it done before you have a radio that fails when you really need it," he said.

Livingston and Hall were also supportive, with French and Tilden voicing opposition that it wasn't a need.

"I don't think we need to rush in and buy \$100,000 worth of radios," French said.

- \$80,000 to repave the asphalt at the Park County Fairgrounds.

The project had already been in this year's budget, but Tilden suggested taking it out.

"We had money then (at budget time). We don't have money now," he said.

Hall was among those who voted to go forward.

"We're almost there on the fairgrounds, and I'd be supportive of continuing to upgrade the fairgrounds," he said.

- \$50,000 for a new back-up system for the computer network. The new request drew universal support.

"With all the problems we've been having of late, this is something that we desperately need," Tilden said.

Malicious software known as ransomware slipped onto the county's computer network last month and required the county to restore some of its data from backup. Conners called the incident "a really rude awakening."

The only project to be rejected by commissioners was a request from District Court Judge Steven Cranfill to spend \$80,000 upgrading the courthouse's security measures. They said the judge hadn't spoken to them about the proposal.

If all the projects cost as much as expected, the county would still have a few hundred thousand dollars of state consensus money remaining.

VISIT US ONLINE AT [WWW.POWELLTRIBUNE.COM](http://WWW.POWELLTRIBUNE.COM)

# County ups current budget by \$550,000

BY CJ BAKER  
Tribune Staff Writer

**B**efore starting some potentially steep budget cuts for the coming fiscal year, Park County commissioners have approved more than a half-million dollars in additional spending for this year.

On March 15, commissioners increased the current year's budget by roughly \$550,000 with six projects that range from starting to straighten a crooked South Fork road to replacing Park County Sheriff's deputies' aging radios.

Five of the six were either approved for more funding than originally budgeted or were new requests.

The projects — totaling more than \$1.24 million — will generally be paid for with

state dollars known as consensus funding.

The county had planned to use its general funds on the projects that had been in the budget, paying for them with state dollars should allow about \$600,000 to stay in the county's accounts.

Commissioners had expressed some unease about whether the state could potentially decide to take back the consensus funding, approved in 2014.

"I would rather the money be sitting in our reserve account, in cash, than (as) a promise from two years ago from the state," Commissioner Loren Grosskopf explained earlier this month.

However, a couple commissioners objected to spending

**'It seems like we're just grasping at ways to spend this money.'**

*Tim French*  
Commissioner

See Budget, Page 3

## SPRINGING INTO WINTER

Although spring technically started over a week ago, winter's not over yet as a snowstorm is set to strike Wyoming over the next few days, according to the National Weather Service (NWS).

Snow was forecasted to develop over the Big Horn Basin Monday evening with 3-5 inches of snowfall possible through Tuesday night. Wind

speeds of 20-30 miles per hour were predicted with gusts up to 40 mph for the area.

Snowfall in the Cowboy State is predicted to continue into Tuesday and Wednesday with heavier accumulations in Johnson and Natrona counties, according to the NWS.

The NWS also forecasted up to 12-20 inches of snowfall in central Wyoming.



BRIDGE IN PARK COUNTY



ROADWAY IN PARK COUNTY



CENTRAL AVENUE IN CODY



BEACON HILL ROAD IN CODY



STAMPEDE AVENUE IN CODY



ABSAROKA STREET IN POWELL



AVENUE B IN POWELL



WASTEWATER LAGOON IN MEETEETSE



WARREN STREET IN MEETEETSE

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY

Park County • City of Cody • City of Powell • Town of Meeteetse

MARCH 2016

## Where do we go from here?

In November 2012, almost four years ago, Park County voters turned down a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax (often referred to as the 1% *General Purpose Tax*, 1% *Optional Tax* or 5th *Cent Tax*). Proceeds of the 1% General Purpose Tax would have gone toward road and street improvements, water and sewer projects, bridge improvements and other infrastructure projects throughout Park County.

The infrastructure projects that would have been funded by the 1% General Purpose Tax have not gone away. In fact, the cost of many of these projects has increased. And to make things more challenging, the State of Wyoming has reduced funding to Wyoming counties and municipalities.

Given the need to address high priority infrastructure projects and ongoing infrastructure maintenance—coupled with the State's recent funding cuts—Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse are considering returning to the ballot with a 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. However, no action will be taken until you—our taxpayers—are given the opportunity to provide your thoughts and recommendations.

Following are the types of questions we'd like to get your input on as we evaluate how best to fund our highest priority infrastructure needs:

- **What are your concerns, if any, regarding a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax?**
- **In your opinion, are there projects that should—or should not—be funded with this type of tax?**
- **Would you prefer to see a 1% Specific Purpose Tax on the ballot to address infrastructure needs rather than a 1% General Purpose Tax?**

Before completing the attached survey, please take a few minutes to review the enclosed "Just The Facts" sheet, which provides additional information regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax and proposed use of proceeds.

Nothing is set in stone regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal until we gather additional community feedback. We sincerely mean that. We do not want to pursue another tax proposal if there is not going to be strong county-wide support from our residents.

**You have the final word. Always.**

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY

Thank you for participating in this important Public Opinion Survey. The results of this survey will play an important role in whether or not a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax is pursued this fall and the composition of that proposal, if pursued. Please review the following questions, review with other members of your household, and complete the survey. A self-addressed, postage-paid envelope is enclosed for your convenience. All responses are anonymous. The results will be independently tallied and reported by a third-party firm. Please complete and return the survey by April 22, 2016. All surveys must be returned in the postage-paid reply envelope provided. Thank you for participating.

## PLEASE REVIEW "JUST THE FACTS" DOCUMENT BEFORE COMPLETING SURVEY

**Q1.** Before receiving the enclosed information, how much had you read, seen or heard about Park County considering placing a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax on the November 2016 ballot? (Please check one)

- A lot  
 Some  
 Hardly anything  
 Nothing at all

*skipped question*

**Q2.** Many residents are not familiar with the ins and outs of the 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax, including how the tax is calculated, the types of purchases that are exempt and the percentage of revenues that go back to local governments. Before receiving the enclosed information, how familiar were you with the details of this tax? (Please check one)

- A lot  
 Some  
 A Little  
 Nothing at all

**Q3.** There are a number of projects that the proposed 1% General Purpose Tax would address (as further detailed in the enclosed Fact Sheet). On a scale of 1 to 5, what priority should be placed on funding each of the following projects with a 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

	Low Priority	←————→			High Priority
a) Repairing Park County's bridges that are in the greatest need of improvements.	1	2	3	4	5
b) Repairing or replacing roadways in Park County to extend their useful life and enhance vehicle safety.	1	2	3	4	5
c) Constructing a water storage tank off of Beacon Hill in Cody to improve fire protection, water storage and water system maintenance.	1	2	3	4	5
d) Upgrading Cody's wastewater lagoon system, parts of the existing storm drainage system, and irrigation system improvements.	1	2	3	4	5
e) Completing ADA ramp projects throughout Cody to improve accessibility for persons with disabilities.	1	2	3	4	5
f) Reconstructing Beacon Hill Road and a section of Sheridan Avenue, as well as 29th Street curb, gutter and sidewalk improvements in Cody.	1	2	3	4	5
g) Improvements in Powell along Absaroka Street (from Third to Seventh), including rebuilding the water line; street widening; storm drains; curb, gutter and sidewalks; trees; and ADA projects.	1	2	3	4	5
h) Storm drainage improvements along Division Street in Powell—from Avenue E to Seventh Street—including ADA ramps at corners, as well as improvements to Grand Street (overlay), widening of A, B, C and D avenues, and repaving of Seventh Street (from Bernard to Division).	1	2	3	4	5
i) Water, sewer, street and solid waste management projects in Meeteetse, including 65-year-old water distribution line replacement along Hwy 290, water treatment plant and tank improvements, fire hydrant upgrades, pump station rehabilitation, storm drain and street chip sealing.	1	2	3	4	5

**Q4.** Some residents would like to see a 1% General Purpose Tax fund non-infrastructure projects. On a scale of 1 to 5, what priority should be placed on funding each of the following projects with a 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

	Low Priority	←————→			High Priority
a) Recreation-related projects like bike paths, ball fields, horse trails and skate parks.	1	2	3	4	5
b) Funding for community groups and organizations like senior citizen centers, food banks and Boys and Girls Clubs.	1	2	3	4	5
c) Endowments to go toward operating costs of swimming pools, recreation centers and similar facilities.	1	2	3	4	5

**Q5.** Following are some arguments people have made in favor of Park County's 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All Convincing" and 5 being "Very Convincing", how would you rate each of the following arguments as a reason to vote IN FAVOR of Park County's proposed 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

- |  | Not At All<br>Convincing | ←————→ |   |   | Very<br>Convincing |
|--|--------------------------|--------|---|---|--------------------|
|  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| a) With the State of Wyoming cutting funding to Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse, revenues from a 1% General Purpose Tax are more important than ever to address high priority street, bridge, water, sewer and other infrastructure projects.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| b) Unlike the existing 4% Sales & Use Tax, in which local governments get to keep only 30% of the tax revenues, local governments get to keep 99% of the 1% General Purpose Tax revenues. This is an important reason why 21 of 23 counties in Wyoming have approved the 1% General Purpose Tax.     | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| c) The 1% General Purpose Tax would have a four-year renewal election in Park County. The tax would have to be approved by voters every four years for it to continue.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| d) Revenues from a voter-approved 1% General Purpose Tax would be distributed based on size of population. For example, 43% of the tax revenues would go to Park County, 34% to Cody, 22% to Powell and 1% to Meeteetse.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| e) There are 49 exemptions from the 1% General Purpose Tax, according to State Statute 39-15-105, including real estate purchases, food, fuel, fertilizer, livestock, feed and farm equipment.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| f) Revenue from a voter-approved 1% General Purpose Tax would include sales tax generated inside Yellowstone National Park. In fact, the Wyoming Department of Revenue estimates that about 30% of the 1% General Purpose Tax in Park County would be paid by travelers from outside Park County.    | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| g) For a \$10 purchase, the tax is an additional 10 cents. For a \$100 purchase, the tax is an additional \$1.00. Many residents consider this a reasonable amount to pay to protect critical infrastructure, property values and our ability to attract and retain local businesses in Park County. | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |

**Q6.** Following are some arguments people have made against Park County's 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All Convincing" and 5 being "Very Convincing", how would you rate each of the following arguments as a reason to vote AGAINST Park County's proposed 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

- |  | Not At All<br>Convincing | ←————→ |   |   | Very<br>Convincing |
|--|--------------------------|--------|---|---|--------------------|
|  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| a) The State already has in place a 4% sales tax. Adding another 1% sales and use tax is a 25% increase. That's too much of a tax burden.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| b) With the oil and gas industry struggling statewide, now is not the time to be increasing taxes.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| c) Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse should be setting aside existing tax dollars to tackle large infrastructure projects, rather than raising taxes.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| d) Local retailers could be negatively impacted from a 1% sales and use tax increase as a result of fewer purchases being made within Park County.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| e) The 1% General Purpose Tax will cost the average household in Park County an additional \$80 per year. That amount of a tax increase will likely be a hardship for many low-income families and seniors on a fixed income.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| f) While voters in Park County would have the opportunity to vote on the 1% General Purpose Tax after it has been in place for four years, it's unlikely that it will be voted down once it's in place. Voters in other counties keep voting the tax in every four years.                          | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| g) It makes more sense for Park County to pursue a 1% Specific Purpose Tax rather than a 1% General Purpose Tax. The 1% Specific Purpose Tax goes away once the specific projects are fully funded. (Refer to Fact Sheet for additional details regarding the difference between these two taxes.) | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY *continued from inside*

**Q7.** If an election were held today, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax within Park County for infrastructure and infrastructure maintenance including, but not limited to, roads, streets, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers, bridges, water, sewer and electrical projects. **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes
- Probably Yes
- Probably No
- Definitely No
- Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q8.** What is your main concern, if any, regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal?

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**Q9.** Rather than a full one (1) percent sales and use tax, if Park County pursued a 1/2 of 1 percent General Purpose Sales & Use Tax and consequently reduced the number of infrastructure projects that it addressed, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) this proposal? **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes
- Probably Yes
- Probably No
- Definitely No
- Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q10.** If Park County pursued a 1% "Specific Purpose" Sales & Use Tax—rather than a 1% "General Purpose" Sales & Use Tax—to fund infrastructure and infrastructure maintenance including, but not limited to, roads, streets, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers, bridges, water, sewer and electrical projects, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) this proposal? (Refer to Fact Sheet for additional details regarding the difference between these two taxes.) **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes
- Probably Yes
- Probably No
- Definitely No
- Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q11.** What more would you like to know, or what question(s) would you like answered, about the proposed 1% General Purpose Tax?

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**Q12.** What other community improvement projects, if any, should be included as part of the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal if pursued this fall?

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**Q13.** How long have you been a resident in the area? **(Please check one)**

- 0-5 Years
- 6-10 Years
- 11-20 Years
- More Than 20 Years

**Q14.** What is your zip code? \_\_\_\_\_

**Q15.** Do you live in town or out of town? **(Please check one)**

- In Town (Inside the Incorporated Municipality)
- Out of Town (Outside the Incorporated Municipality)
- Don't Know / Not Sure

**Q16.** What is your age? **(Please check one)**

- 18-24 Years
- 25-34 Years
- 35-44 Years
- 45-54
- 55-64
- Age 65 or Older

*None of your business*

*These 2 questions skewed the outcome of your results. All so, these two questions are bigoted and racist in nature*

**Thank you for participating!**

**If you have questions about this survey, please contact:**

**City of Cody**  
Mayor & Council  
1338 Rumsey, PO Box 2200  
Cody, WY 82414-3713  
Phone: (307) 527-7511  
Fax: (307) 527-6532  
mayor@cityofcody.com  
www.cityofcody-wy.gov

**City of Powell**  
Mayor & Council  
270 N Clark St.  
Powell, Wyoming 82435  
Phone: (307) 754-5106  
admin@cityofpowell.com  
www.cityofpowell.com

**Town of Meeteetse**  
Mayor & Council  
2044 State Street, PO Box 38  
Meeteetse, Wyoming 82433  
Phone: 307-868-2278  
Fax: 307-868-2608  
meeteetse@tctwest.net  
www.townofmeeteetse.org

**Park County Commissioners**  
Park County Courthouse  
1002 Sheridan Ave.  
Cody, WY 82414  
Toll Free: 1(800)786-2844 ext 8510  
Fax: (307) 527-8515  
sromero@parkcounty.us  
www.parkcounty.us



BRIDGE IN PARK COUNTY



ROADWAY IN PARK COUNTY



CENTRAL AVENUE IN CODY



BEACON HILL ROAD IN CODY



STAMPEDE AVENUE IN CODY



ABSAROKA STREET IN POWELL



AVENUE B IN POWELL



WASTEWATER LAGOON IN MEETEETSE



WARREN STREET IN MEETEETSE

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY

Park County • City of Cody • City of Powell • Town of Meeteetse

MARCH 2016

## Where do we go from here?

In November 2012, almost four years ago, Park County voters turned down a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax (often referred to as the 1% *General Purpose Tax*, 1% *Optional Tax* or 5th *Cent Tax*). Proceeds of the 1% General Purpose Tax would have gone toward road and street improvements, water and sewer projects, bridge improvements and other infrastructure projects throughout Park County.

The infrastructure projects that would have been funded by the 1% General Purpose Tax have not gone away. In fact, the cost of many of these projects has increased. And to make things more challenging, the State of Wyoming has reduced funding to Wyoming counties and municipalities.

Given the need to address high priority infrastructure projects and ongoing infrastructure maintenance—coupled with the State's recent funding cuts—Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse are considering returning to the ballot with a 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. However, no action will be taken until you—our taxpayers—are given the opportunity to provide your thoughts and recommendations.

Following are the types of questions we'd like to get your input on as we evaluate how best to fund our highest priority infrastructure needs:

- **What are your concerns, if any, regarding a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax?**
- **In your opinion, are there projects that should—or should not—be funded with this type of tax?**
- **Would you prefer to see a 1% Specific Purpose Tax on the ballot to address infrastructure needs rather than a 1% General Purpose Tax?**

Before completing the attached survey, please take a few minutes to review the enclosed "Just The Facts" sheet, which provides additional information regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax and proposed use of proceeds.

Nothing is set in stone regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal until we gather additional community feedback. We sincerely mean that. We do not want to pursue another tax proposal if there is not going to be strong county-wide support from our residents.

**You have the final word. Always.**

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY

**Thank you for participating in this important Public Opinion Survey.** The results of this survey will play an important role in whether or not a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax is pursued this fall and the composition of that proposal, if pursued. Please review the following questions, review with other members of your household, and complete the survey. A self-addressed, postage-paid envelope is enclosed for your convenience. **All responses are anonymous. The results will be independently tallied and reported by a third-party firm. Please complete and return the survey by April 22, 2016. All surveys must be returned in the postage-paid reply envelope provided. Thank you for participating.**

## PLEASE REVIEW "JUST THE FACTS" DOCUMENT BEFORE COMPLETING SURVEY

**Q1.** Before receiving the enclosed information, how much had you read, seen or heard about Park County considering placing a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax on the November 2016 ballot? **(Please check one)**

- A lot  
 Some  
 Hardly anything  
 Nothing at all

**Q2.** Many residents are not familiar with the ins and outs of the 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax, including how the tax is calculated, the types of purchases that are exempt and the percentage of revenues that go back to local governments. Before receiving the enclosed information, how familiar were you with the details of this tax? **(Please check one)**

- A lot  
 Some  
 A Little  
 Nothing at all

**Q3.** There are a number of projects that the proposed 1% General Purpose Tax would address (as further detailed in the enclosed Fact Sheet). On a scale of 1 to 5, what priority should be placed on funding each of the following projects with a 1% General Purpose Tax? **(Please circle one number next to each item):**

	Low Priority	←————→			High Priority
a) Repairing Park County's bridges that are in the greatest need of improvements.	1	2	3	4	5
b) Repairing or replacing roadways in Park County to extend their useful life and enhance vehicle safety.	1	2	3	4	5
c) Constructing a water storage tank off of Beacon Hill in Cody to improve fire protection, water storage and water system maintenance.	1	2	3	4	5
d) Upgrading Cody's wastewater lagoon system, parts of the existing storm drainage system, and irrigation system improvements.	1	2	3	4	5
e) Completing ADA ramp projects throughout Cody to improve accessibility for persons with disabilities.	1	2	3	4	5
f) Reconstructing Beacon Hill Road and a section of Sheridan Avenue, as well as 29th Street curb, gutter and sidewalk improvements in Cody.	1	2	3	4	5
g) Improvements in Powell along Absaroka Street (from Third to Seventh), including rebuilding the water line; street widening; storm drains; curb, gutter and sidewalks; trees; and ADA projects.	1	2	3	4	5
h) Storm drainage improvements along Division Street in Powell—from Avenue E to Seventh Street—including ADA ramps at corners, as well as improvements to Grand Street (overlay), widening of A,B,C and D avenues, and repaving of Seventh Street (from Bernard to Division).	1	2	3	4	5
i) Water, sewer, street and solid waste management projects in Meeteetse, including 65-year-old water distribution line replacement along Hwy 290, water treatment plant and tank improvements, fire hydrant upgrades, pump station rehabilitation, storm drain and street chip sealing.	1	2	3	4	5

**Q4.** Some residents would like to see a 1% General Purpose Tax fund non-infrastructure projects. On a scale of 1 to 5, what priority should be placed on funding each of the following projects with a 1% General Purpose Tax? **(Please circle one number next to each item):**

	Low Priority	←————→			High Priority
a) Recreation-related projects like bike paths, ball fields, horse trails and skate parks.	1	2	3	4	5
b) Funding for community groups and organizations like senior citizen centers, food banks and Boys and Girls Clubs.	1	2	3	4	5
c) Endowments to go toward operating costs of swimming pools, recreation centers and similar facilities.	1	2	3	4	5

**Q5.** Following are some arguments people have made against Park County's 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All Convincing" and 5 being "Very Convincing", how would you rate each of the following arguments as a reason to vote AGAINST Park County's proposed 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

	Not At All Convincing	←————→			Very Convincing
	1	2	3	4	5
a) The State already has in place a 4% sales tax. Adding another 1% sales and use tax is a 25% increase. That's too much of a tax burden.	1				
b) With the oil and gas industry struggling statewide, now is not the time to be increasing taxes.	1				
c) Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse should be setting aside existing tax dollars to tackle large infrastructure projects, rather than raising taxes.	1	2	3	4	5
d) Local retailers could be negatively impacted from a 1% sales and use tax increase as a result of fewer purchases being made within Park County.	1				
e) The 1% General Purpose Tax will cost the average household in Park County an additional \$80 per year. That amount of a tax increase will likely be a hardship for many low-income families and seniors on a fixed income.	1	2	3	4	5
f) While voters in Park County would have the opportunity to vote on the 1% General Purpose Tax after it has been in place for four years, it's unlikely that it will be voted down once it's in place. Voters in other counties keep voting the tax in every four years.	1				
g) It makes more sense for Park County to pursue a 1% Specific Purpose Tax rather than a 1% General Purpose Tax. The 1% Specific Purpose Tax goes away once the specific projects are fully funded. (Refer to Fact Sheet for additional details regarding the difference between these two taxes.)	1				

**Q6.** Following are some arguments people have made in favor of Park County's 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All Convincing" and 5 being "Very Convincing", how would you rate each of the following arguments as a reason to vote IN FAVOR of Park County's proposed 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

	Not At All Convincing	←————→			Very Convincing
	1	2	3	4	5
a) With the State of Wyoming cutting funding to Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse, revenues from a 1% General Purpose Tax are more important than ever to address high priority street, bridge, water, sewer and other infrastructure projects.					5
b) Unlike the existing 4% Sales & Use Tax, in which local governments get to keep only 30% of the tax revenues, local governments get to keep 99% of the 1% General Purpose Tax revenues. This is an important reason why 21 of 23 counties in Wyoming have approved the 1% General Purpose Tax.					5
c) The 1% General Purpose Tax would have a four-year renewal election in Park County. The tax would have to be approved by voters every four years for it to continue.					5
d) Revenues from a voter-approved 1% General Purpose Tax would be distributed based on size of population. For example, 43% of the tax revenues would go to Park County, 34% to Cody, 22% to Powell and 1% to Meeteetse.					5
e) There are 49 exemptions from the 1% General Purpose Tax, according to State Statute 39-15-105, including real estate purchases, food, fuel, fertilizer, livestock, feed and farm equipment.					5
f) Revenue from a voter-approved 1% General Purpose Tax would include sales tax generated inside Yellowstone National Park. In fact, the Wyoming Department of Revenue estimates that about 30% of the 1% General Purpose Tax in Park County would be paid by travelers from outside Park County.					5
g) For a \$10 purchase, the tax is an additional 10 cents. For a \$100 purchase, the tax is an additional \$1.00. Many residents consider this a reasonable amount to pay to protect critical infrastructure, property values and our ability to attract and retain local businesses in Park County.					5

Survey continued on back ▶

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY *continued from inside*

**Q7.** If an election were held today, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax within Park County for infrastructure and infrastructure maintenance including, but not limited to, roads, streets, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers, bridges, water, sewer and electrical projects. **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes
- Probably Yes
- Probably No
- Definitely No
- Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q8.** What is your main concern, if any, regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal?

That it's used for what we need, not for what people want.

**Q9.** Rather than a full one (1) percent sales and use tax, if Park County pursued a 1/2 of 1 percent General Purpose Sales & Use Tax and consequently reduced the number of infrastructure projects that it addressed, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) this proposal? **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes
- Probably Yes
- Probably No
- Definitely No
- Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q10.** If Park County pursued a 1% "Specific Purpose" Sales & Use Tax—rather than a 1% "General Purpose" Sales & Use Tax—to fund infrastructure and infrastructure maintenance including, but not limited to, roads, streets, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers, bridges, water, sewer and electrical projects, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) this proposal? (Refer to Fact Sheet for additional details regarding the difference between these two taxes.) **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes
- Probably Yes
- Probably No
- Definitely No
- Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q11.** What more would you like to know, or what question(s) would you like answered, about the proposed 1% General Purpose Tax?

**Q12.** What other community improvement projects, if any, should be included as part of the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal if pursued this fall?

**Q13.** How long have you been a resident in the area? **(Please check one)**

- 0-5 Years
- 6-10 Years
- 11-20 Years
- More Than 20 Years

**Q14.** What is your zip code? 82435

**Q15.** Do you live in town or out of town? **(Please check one)**

- In Town (*Inside the Incorporated Municipality*)
- Out of Town (*Outside the Incorporated Municipality*)
- Don't Know / Not Sure

**Q16.** What is your age? **(Please check one)**

- 18-24 Years
- 25-34 Years
- 35-44 Years
- 45-54
- 55-64
- Age 65 or Older

**Thank you for participating!**

**If you have questions about this survey, please contact:**

**City of Cody**  
Mayor & Council  
1338 Rumsey, PO Box 2200  
Cody, WY 82414-3713  
Phone: (307) 527-7511  
Fax: (307) 527-6532  
mayor@cityofcody.com  
www.cityofcody-wy.gov

**City of Powell**  
Mayor & Council  
270 N Clark St.  
Powell, Wyoming 82435  
Phone: (307) 754-5106  
admin@cityofpowell.com  
www.cityofpowell.com

**Town of Meeteetse**  
Mayor & Council  
2044 State Street, PO Box 38  
Meeteetse, Wyoming 82433  
Phone: 307-868-2278  
Fax: 307-868-2608  
meeteetse@tctwest.net  
www.townofmeeteetse.org

**Park County Commissioners**  
Park County Courthouse  
1002 Sheridan Ave.  
Cody, WY 82414  
Toll Free: 1(800)786-2844 ext 8510  
Fax: (307) 527-8515  
sromero@parkcounty.us  
www.parkcounty.us



BRIDGE IN PARK COUNTY



ROADWAY IN PARK COUNTY



CENTRAL AVENUE IN CODY



BEACON HILL ROAD IN CODY



STAMPEDE AVENUE IN CODY



ABSAROKA STREET IN POWELL



AVENUE B IN POWELL



WASTEWATER LAGOON IN MEETEETSE



WARREN STREET IN MEETEETSE

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY

Park County • City of Cody • City of Powell • Town of Meeteetse

MARCH 2016

## Where do we go from here?

In November 2012, almost four years ago, Park County voters turned down a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax (often referred to as the 1% *General Purpose Tax*, 1% *Optional Tax* or 5th *Cent Tax*). Proceeds of the 1% General Purpose Tax would have gone toward road and street improvements, water and sewer projects, bridge improvements and other infrastructure projects throughout Park County.

The infrastructure projects that would have been funded by the 1% General Purpose Tax have not gone away. In fact, the cost of many of these projects has increased. And to make things more challenging, the State of Wyoming has reduced funding to Wyoming counties and municipalities.

Given the need to address high priority infrastructure projects and ongoing infrastructure maintenance—coupled with the State's recent funding cuts—Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse are considering returning to the ballot with a 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. However, no action will be taken until you—our taxpayers—are given the opportunity to provide your thoughts and recommendations.

Following are the types of questions we'd like to get your input on as we evaluate how best to fund our highest priority infrastructure needs:

- What are your concerns, if any, regarding a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax?
- In your opinion, are there projects that should—or should not—be funded with this type of tax?
- Would you prefer to see a 1% Specific Purpose Tax on the ballot to address infrastructure needs rather than a 1% General Purpose Tax?

Before completing the attached survey, please take a few minutes to review the enclosed "Just The Facts" sheet, which provides additional information regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax and proposed use of proceeds.

Nothing is set in stone regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal until we gather additional community feedback. We sincerely mean that. We do not want to pursue another tax proposal if there is not going to be strong county-wide support from our residents.

**You have the final word. Always.**

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY

**Thank you for participating in this important Public Opinion Survey.** The results of this survey will play an important role in whether or not a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax is pursued this fall and the composition of that proposal, if pursued. Please review the following questions, review with other members of your household, and complete the survey. A self-addressed, postage-paid envelope is enclosed for your convenience. **All responses are anonymous. The results will be independently tallied and reported by a third-party firm. Please complete and return the survey by April 22, 2016. All surveys must be returned in the postage-paid reply envelope provided. Thank you for participating.**

## PLEASE REVIEW "JUST THE FACTS" DOCUMENT BEFORE COMPLETING SURVEY

**Q1.** Before receiving the enclosed information, how much had you read, seen or heard about Park County considering placing a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax on the November 2016 ballot? **(Please check one)**

- A lot  
 Some  
 Hardly anything  
 Nothing at all

**Q2.** Many residents are not familiar with the ins and outs of the 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax, including how the tax is calculated, the types of purchases that are exempt and the percentage of revenues that go back to local governments. Before receiving the enclosed information, how familiar were you with the details of this tax? **(Please check one)**

- A lot  
 Some  
 A Little  
 Nothing at all

**Q3.** There are a number of projects that the proposed 1% General Purpose Tax would address (as further detailed in the enclosed Fact Sheet). On a scale of 1 to 5, what priority should be placed on funding each of the following projects with a 1% General Purpose Tax? **(Please circle one number next to each item):**

	Low Priority	←————→			High Priority
a) Repairing Park County's bridges that are in the greatest need of improvements.	1	2	3	4	5
b) Repairing or replacing roadways in Park County to extend their useful life and enhance vehicle safety.	1	2	3	4	5
c) Constructing a water storage tank off of Beacon Hill in Cody to improve fire protection, water storage and water system maintenance.	1	2	3	4	5
d) Upgrading Cody's wastewater lagoon system, parts of the existing storm drainage system, and irrigation system improvements.	1	2	3	4	5
e) Completing ADA ramp projects throughout Cody to improve accessibility for persons with disabilities.	1	2	3	4	5
f) Reconstructing Beacon Hill Road and a section of Sheridan Avenue, as well as 29th Street curb, gutter and sidewalk improvements in Cody.	1	2	3	4	5
g) Improvements in Powell along Absaroka Street (from Third to Seventh), including rebuilding the water line; street widening; storm drains; curb, gutter and sidewalks; trees; and ADA projects.	1	2	3	4	5
h) Storm drainage improvements along Division Street in Powell—from Avenue E to Seventh Street—including ADA ramps at corners, as well as improvements to Grand Street (overlay), widening of A,B,C and D avenues, and repaving of Seventh Street (from Bernard to Division).	1	2	3	4	5
i) Water, sewer, street and solid waste management projects in Meeteetse, including 65-year-old water distribution line replacement along Hwy 290, water treatment plant and tank improvements, fire hydrant upgrades, pump station rehabilitation, storm drain and street chip sealing.	1	2	3	4	5

**Q4.** Some residents would like to see a 1% General Purpose Tax fund non-infrastructure projects. On a scale of 1 to 5, what priority should be placed on funding each of the following projects with a 1% General Purpose Tax? **(Please circle one number next to each item):**

	Low Priority	←————→			High Priority
a) Recreation-related projects like bike paths, ball fields, horse trails and skate parks.	1	2	3	4	5
b) Funding for community groups and organizations like senior citizen centers, food banks and Boys and Girls Clubs.	1	2	3	4	5
c) Endowments to go toward operating costs of swimming pools, recreation centers and similar facilities.	1	2	3	4	5

**Q5.** Following are some arguments people have made against Park County's 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All Convincing" and 5 being "Very Convincing", how would you rate each of the following arguments as a reason to vote AGAINST Park County's proposed 1% General Purpose Tax? **(Please circle one number next to each item):**

Not At All Convincing ←————→ Very Convincing

- |  |   |   |   |   |   |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| a) The State already has in place a 4% sales tax. Adding another 1% sales and use tax is a 25% increase. That's too much of a tax burden.  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| b) With the oil and gas industry struggling statewide, now is not the time to be increasing taxes.   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| c) Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse should be setting aside existing tax dollars to tackle large infrastructure projects, rather than raising taxes.  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| d) Local retailers could be negatively impacted from a 1% sales and use tax increase as a result of fewer purchases being made within Park County.   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| e) The 1% General Purpose Tax will cost the average household in Park County an additional \$80 per year. That amount of a tax increase will likely be a hardship for many low-income families and seniors on a fixed income.  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| f) While voters in Park County would have the opportunity to vote on the 1% General Purpose Tax after it has been in place for four years, it's unlikely that it will be voted down once it's in place. Voters in other counties keep voting the tax in every four years.                          | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| g) It makes more sense for Park County to pursue a 1% Specific Purpose Tax rather than a 1% General Purpose Tax. The 1% Specific Purpose Tax goes away once the specific projects are fully funded. (Refer to Fact Sheet for additional details regarding the difference between these two taxes.) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

**Q6.** Following are some arguments people have made in favor of Park County's 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All Convincing" and 5 being "Very Convincing", how would you rate each of the following arguments as a reason to vote IN FAVOR of Park County's proposed 1% General Purpose Tax? **(Please circle one number next to each item):**

Not At All Convincing ←————→ Very Convincing

- |  |   |   |   |   |   |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| a) With the State of Wyoming cutting funding to Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse, revenues from a 1% General Purpose Tax are more important than ever to address high priority street, bridge, water, sewer and other infrastructure projects.  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| b) Unlike the existing 4% Sales & Use Tax, in which local governments get to keep only 30% of the tax revenues, local governments get to keep 99% of the 1% General Purpose Tax revenues. This is an important reason why 21 of 23 counties in Wyoming have approved the 1% General Purpose Tax.     | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| c) The 1% General Purpose Tax would have a four-year renewal election in Park County. The tax would have to be approved by voters every four years for it to continue.   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| d) Revenues from a voter-approved 1% General Purpose Tax would be distributed based on size of population. For example, 43% of the tax revenues would go to Park County, 34% to Cody, 22% to Powell and 1% to Meeteetse.   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| e) There are 49 exemptions from the 1% General Purpose Tax, according to State Statute 39-15-105, including real estate purchases, food, fuel, fertilizer, livestock, feed and farm equipment.   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| f) Revenue from a voter-approved 1% General Purpose Tax would include sales tax generated inside Yellowstone National Park. In fact, the Wyoming Department of Revenue estimates that about 30% of the 1% General Purpose Tax in Park County would be paid by travelers from outside Park County.    | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| g) For a \$10 purchase, the tax is an additional 10 cents. For a \$100 purchase, the tax is an additional \$1.00. Many residents consider this a reasonable amount to pay to protect critical infrastructure, property values and our ability to attract and retain local businesses in Park County. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY *continued from inside*

**Q7.** If an election were held today, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax within Park County for infrastructure and infrastructure maintenance including, but not limited to, roads, streets, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers, bridges, water, sewer and electrical projects. **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes
- Probably Yes
- Probably No
- Definitely No
- Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q8.** What is your main concern, if any, regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal?

appropriation of funds

**Q9.** Rather than a full one (1) percent sales and use tax, if Park County pursued a 1/2 of 1 percent General Purpose Sales & Use Tax and consequently reduced the number of infrastructure projects that it addressed, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) this proposal? **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes
- Probably Yes
- Probably No
- Definitely No
- Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q10.** If Park County pursued a 1% "Specific Purpose" Sales & Use Tax—rather than a 1% "General Purpose" Sales & Use Tax—to fund infrastructure and infrastructure maintenance including, but not limited to, roads, streets, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers, bridges, water, sewer and electrical projects, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) this proposal? (Refer to Fact Sheet for additional details regarding the difference between these two taxes.) **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes
- Probably Yes
- Probably No
- Definitely No
- Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q11.** What more would you like to know, or what question(s) would you like answered, about the proposed 1% General Purpose Tax?

will appropriation be voted on for these projects?

**Q12.** What other community improvement projects, if any, should be included as part of the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal if pursued this fall?

improving the overall appearance of downtown.

**Q13.** How long have you been a resident in the area? **(Please check one)**

- 0-5 Years
- 6-10 Years
- 11-20 Years
- More Than 20 Years

**Q14.** What is your zip code? 82414

**Q15.** Do you live in town or out of town?

- (Please check one)**
- In Town (*Inside the Incorporated Municipality*)
  - Out of Town (*Outside the Incorporated Municipality*)
  - Don't Know / Not Sure

**Q16.** What is your age? **(Please check one)**

- 18-24 Years
- 25-34 Years
- 35-44 Years
- 45-54
- 55-64
- Age 65 or Older

*I appreciate the hard work on this. It would be great for Cody!*

**Thank you for participating!**

**If you have questions about this survey, please contact:**

**City of Cody**  
Mayor & Council  
1338 Rumsey, PO Box 2200  
Cody, WY 82414-3713  
Phone: (307) 527-7511  
Fax: (307) 527-6532  
mayor@cityofcody.com  
www.cityofcody-wy.gov

**City of Powell**  
Mayor & Council  
270 N Clark St.  
Powell, Wyoming 82435  
Phone: (307) 754-5106  
admin@cityofpowell.com  
www.cityofpowell.com

**Town of Meeteetse**  
Mayor & Council  
2044 State Street, PO Box 38  
Meeteetse, Wyoming 82433  
Phone: 307-868-2278  
Fax: 307-868-2608  
meeteetse@tctwest.net  
www.townofmeeteetse.org

**Park County Commissioners**  
Park County Courthouse  
1002 Sheridan Ave.  
Cody, WY 82414  
Toll Free: 1(800)786-2844 ext 8510  
Fax: (307) 527-8515  
sromero@parkcounty.us  
www.parkcounty.us



BRIDGE IN PARK COUNTY



ROADWAY IN PARK COUNTY



CENTRAL AVENUE IN CODY



BEACON HILL ROAD IN CODY



STAMPEDE AVENUE IN CODY



ABSAROKA STREET IN POWELL



AVENUE B IN POWELL



WASTEWATER LAGOON IN MEETEETSE



WARREN STREET IN MEETEETSE

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY

Park County • City of Cody • City of Powell • Town of Meeteetse

MARCH 2016

## Where do we go from here?

In November 2012, almost four years ago, Park County voters turned down a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax (often referred to as the 1% *General Purpose Tax*, 1% *Optional Tax* or 5th *Cent Tax*). Proceeds of the 1% General Purpose Tax would have gone toward road and street improvements, water and sewer projects, bridge improvements and other infrastructure projects throughout Park County.

The infrastructure projects that would have been funded by the 1% General Purpose Tax have not gone away. In fact, the cost of many of these projects has increased. And to make things more challenging, the State of Wyoming has reduced funding to Wyoming counties and municipalities.

Given the need to address high priority infrastructure projects and ongoing infrastructure maintenance—coupled with the State's recent funding cuts—Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse are considering returning to the ballot with a 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. However, no action will be taken until you—our taxpayers—are given the opportunity to provide your thoughts and recommendations.

Following are the types of questions we'd like to get your input on as we evaluate how best to fund our highest priority infrastructure needs:

- **What are your concerns, if any, regarding a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax?**
- **In your opinion, are there projects that should—or should not—be funded with this type of tax?**
- **Would you prefer to see a 1% Specific Purpose Tax on the ballot to address infrastructure needs rather than a 1% General Purpose Tax?**

Before completing the attached survey, please take a few minutes to review the enclosed "Just The Facts" sheet, which provides additional information regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax and proposed use of proceeds.

Nothing is set in stone regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal until we gather additional community feedback. We sincerely mean that. We do not want to pursue another tax proposal if there is not going to be strong county-wide support from our residents.

**You have the final word. Always.**

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY

**Thank you for participating in this important Public Opinion Survey.** The results of this survey will play an important role in whether or not a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax is pursued this fall and the composition of that proposal, if pursued. Please review the following questions, review with other members of your household, and complete the survey. A self-addressed, postage-paid envelope is enclosed for your convenience. **All responses are anonymous. The results will be independently tallied and reported by a third-party firm. Please complete and return the survey by April 22, 2016. All surveys must be returned in the postage-paid reply envelope provided. Thank you for participating.**

## PLEASE REVIEW "JUST THE FACTS" DOCUMENT BEFORE COMPLETING SURVEY

**Q1.** Before receiving the enclosed information, how much had you read, seen or heard about Park County considering placing a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax on the November 2016 ballot? **(Please check one)**

- A lot  
 Some  
 Hardly anything  
 Nothing at all

**Q2.** Many residents are not familiar with the ins and outs of the 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax, including how the tax is calculated, the types of purchases that are exempt and the percentage of revenues that go back to local governments. Before receiving the enclosed information, how familiar were you with the details of this tax? **(Please check one)**

- A lot  
 Some  
 A Little  
 Nothing at all

**Q3.** There are a number of projects that the proposed 1% General Purpose Tax would address (as further detailed in the enclosed Fact Sheet). On a scale of 1 to 5, what priority should be placed on funding each of the following projects with a 1% General Purpose Tax? **(Please circle one number next to each item):**

	Low Priority	←————→			High Priority
a) Repairing Park County's bridges that are in the greatest need of improvements.	1	2	3	4	5
b) Repairing or replacing roadways in Park County to extend their useful life and enhance vehicle safety.	1	2	3	4	5
c) Constructing a water storage tank off of Beacon Hill in Cody to improve fire protection, water storage and water system maintenance.	1	2	3	4	5
d) Upgrading Cody's wastewater lagoon system, parts of the existing storm drainage system, and irrigation system improvements.	1	2	3	4	5
e) Completing ADA ramp projects throughout Cody to improve accessibility for persons with disabilities.	1	2	3	4	5
f) Reconstructing Beacon Hill Road and a section of Sheridan Avenue, as well as 29th Street curb, gutter and sidewalk improvements in Cody.	1	2	3	4	5
g) Improvements in Powell along Absaroka Street (from Third to Seventh), including rebuilding the water line; street widening; storm drains; curb, gutter and sidewalks; trees; and ADA projects.	1	2	3	4	5
h) Storm drainage improvements along Division Street in Powell—from Avenue E to Seventh Street—including ADA ramps at corners, as well as improvements to Grand Street (overlay), widening of A,B,C and D avenues, and repaving of Seventh Street (from Bernard to Division).	1	2	3	4	5
i) Water, sewer, street and solid waste management projects in Meeteetse, including 65-year-old water distribution line replacement along Hwy 290, water treatment plant and tank improvements, fire hydrant upgrades, pump station rehabilitation, storm drain and street chip sealing.	1	2	3	4	5

**Q4.** Some residents would like to see a 1% General Purpose Tax fund non-infrastructure projects. On a scale of 1 to 5, what priority should be placed on funding each of the following projects with a 1% General Purpose Tax? **(Please circle one number next to each item):**

	Low Priority	←————→			High Priority
a) Recreation-related projects like bike paths, ball fields, horse trails and skate parks.	1	2	3	4	5
b) Funding for community groups and organizations like senior citizen centers, food banks and Boys and Girls Clubs.	1	2	3	4	5
c) Endowments to go toward operating costs of swimming pools, recreation centers and similar facilities.	1	2	3	4	5

**Q5.** Following are some arguments people have made in favor of Park County's 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All Convincing" and 5 being "Very Convincing", how would you rate each of the following arguments as a reason to vote IN FAVOR of Park County's proposed 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

- |  | Not At All<br>Convincing | ←————→ |   |   | Very<br>Convincing |
|--|--------------------------|--------|---|---|--------------------|
| a) With the State of Wyoming cutting funding to Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse, revenues from a 1% General Purpose Tax are more important than ever to address high priority street, bridge, water, sewer and other infrastructure projects.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| b) Unlike the existing 4% Sales & Use Tax, in which local governments get to keep only 30% of the tax revenues, local governments get to keep 99% of the 1% General Purpose Tax revenues. This is an important reason why 21 of 23 counties in Wyoming have approved the 1% General Purpose Tax.     | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| c) The 1% General Purpose Tax would have a four-year renewal election in Park County. The tax would have to be approved by voters every four years for it to continue.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| d) Revenues from a voter-approved 1% General Purpose Tax would be distributed based on size of population. For example, 43% of the tax revenues would go to Park County, 34% to Cody, 22% to Powell and 1% to Meeteetse.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| e) There are 49 exemptions from the 1% General Purpose Tax, according to State Statute 39-15-105, including real estate purchases, food, fuel, fertilizer, livestock, feed and farm equipment.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| f) Revenue from a voter-approved 1% General Purpose Tax would include sales tax generated inside Yellowstone National Park. In fact, the Wyoming Department of Revenue estimates that about 30% of the 1% General Purpose Tax in Park County would be paid by travelers from outside Park County.    | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| g) For a \$10 purchase, the tax is an additional 10 cents. For a \$100 purchase, the tax is an additional \$1.00. Many residents consider this a reasonable amount to pay to protect critical infrastructure, property values and our ability to attract and retain local businesses in Park County. | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |

**Q6.** Following are some arguments people have made against Park County's 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All Convincing" and 5 being "Very Convincing", how would you rate each of the following arguments as a reason to vote AGAINST Park County's proposed 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

- |  | Not At All<br>Convincing | ←————→ |   |   | Very<br>Convincing |
|--|--------------------------|--------|---|---|--------------------|
| a) The State already has in place a 4% sales tax. Adding another 1% sales and use tax is a 25% increase. That's too much of a tax burden.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| b) With the oil and gas industry struggling statewide, now is not the time to be increasing taxes.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| c) Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse should be setting aside existing tax dollars to tackle large infrastructure projects, rather than raising taxes.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| d) Local retailers could be negatively impacted from a 1% sales and use tax increase as a result of fewer purchases being made within Park County.   | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| e) The 1% General Purpose Tax will cost the average household in Park County an additional \$80 per year. That amount of a tax increase will likely be a hardship for many low-income families and seniors on a fixed income.  | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| f) While voters in Park County would have the opportunity to vote on the 1% General Purpose Tax after it has been in place for four years, it's unlikely that it will be voted down once it's in place. Voters in other counties keep voting the tax in every four years.                          | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |
| g) It makes more sense for Park County to pursue a 1% Specific Purpose Tax rather than a 1% General Purpose Tax. The 1% Specific Purpose Tax goes away once the specific projects are fully funded. (Refer to Fact Sheet for additional details regarding the difference between these two taxes.) | 1                        | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5                  |

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY *continued from inside*

**Q7.** If an election were held today, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax within Park County for infrastructure and infrastructure maintenance including, but not limited to, roads, streets, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers, bridges, water, sewer and electrical projects. **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes  
 Probably Yes  
 Probably No  
 Definitely No  
 Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q8.** What is your main concern, if any, regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal?

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**Q9.** Rather than a full one (1) percent sales and use tax, if Park County pursued a 1/2 of 1 percent General Purpose Sales & Use Tax and consequently reduced the number of infrastructure projects that it addressed, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) this proposal? **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes  
 Probably Yes  
 Probably No  
 Definitely No  
 Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q10.** If Park County pursued a 1% "Specific Purpose" Sales & Use Tax—rather than a 1% "General Purpose" Sales & Use Tax—to fund infrastructure and infrastructure maintenance including, but not limited to, roads, streets, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers, bridges, water, sewer and electrical projects, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) this proposal? (Refer to Fact Sheet for additional details regarding the difference between these two taxes.) **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes  
 Probably Yes  
 Probably No  
 Definitely No  
 Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q11.** What more would you like to know, or what question(s) would you like answered, about the proposed 1% General Purpose Tax?

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**Q12.** What other community improvement projects, if any, should be included as part of the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal if pursued this fall?

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**Q13.** How long have you been a resident in the area? **(Please check one)**

- 0-5 Years  
 6-10 Years  
 11-20 Years  
 More Than 20 Years

**Q14.** What is your zip code? 82414

**Q15.** Do you live in town or out of town?

**(Please check one)**

- In Town (*Inside the Incorporated Municipality*)  
 Out of Town (*Outside the Incorporated Municipality*)  
 Don't Know / Not Sure

**Q16.** What is your age? **(Please check one)**

- 18-24 Years  
 25-34 Years  
 35-44 Years  
 45-54  
 55-64  
 Age 65 or Older

***Thank you for participating!***

**If you have questions about this survey, please contact:**

**City of Cody**

Mayor & Council  
1338 Rumsey, PO Box 2200  
Cody, WY 82414-3713  
Phone: (307) 527-7511  
Fax: (307) 527-6532  
mayor@cityofcody.com  
www.cityofcody-wy.gov

**City of Powell**

Mayor & Council  
270 N Clark St.  
Powell, Wyoming 82435  
Phone: (307) 754-5106  
admin@cityofpowell.com  
www.cityofpowell.com

**Town of Meeteetse**

Mayor & Council  
2044 State Street, PO Box 38  
Meeteetse, Wyoming 82433  
Phone: 307-868-2278  
Fax: 307-868-2608  
meeteetse@tctwest.net  
www.townofmeeteetse.org

**Park County Commissioners**

Park County Courthouse  
1002 Sheridan Ave.  
Cody, WY 82414  
Toll Free: 1(800)786-2844 ext 8510  
Fax: (307) 527-8515  
sromero@parkcounty.us  
www.parkcounty.us



BRIDGE IN PARK COUNTY



ROADWAY IN PARK COUNTY



CENTRAL AVENUE IN CODY



BEACON HILL ROAD IN CODY




STAMPEDE AVENUE IN CODY



ABSAROKA STREET IN POWELL



AVENUE B IN POWELL



WASTEWATER LAGOON IN MEETEETSE



WARREN STREET IN MEETEETSE

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY

Park County • City of Cody • City of Powell • Town of Meeteetse

MARCH 2016

## Where do we go from here?

In November 2012, almost four years ago, Park County voters turned down a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax (often referred to as the 1% *General Purpose Tax*, 1% *Optional Tax* or 5th *Cent Tax*). Proceeds of the 1% General Purpose Tax would have gone toward road and street improvements, water and sewer projects, bridge improvements and other infrastructure projects throughout Park County.

The infrastructure projects that would have been funded by the 1% General Purpose Tax have not gone away. In fact, the cost of many of these projects has increased. And to make things more challenging, the State of Wyoming has reduced funding to Wyoming counties and municipalities.

Given the need to address high priority infrastructure projects and ongoing infrastructure maintenance—coupled with the State's recent funding cuts—Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse are considering returning to the ballot with a 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. However, no action will be taken until you—our taxpayers—are given the opportunity to provide your thoughts and recommendations.

Following are the types of questions we'd like to get your input on as we evaluate how best to fund our highest priority infrastructure needs:

- What are your concerns, if any, regarding a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax?
- In your opinion, are there projects that should—or should not—be funded with this type of tax?
- Would you prefer to see a 1% Specific Purpose Tax on the ballot to address infrastructure needs rather than a 1% General Purpose Tax?

Before completing the attached survey, please take a few minutes to review the enclosed "Just The Facts" sheet, which provides additional information regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax and proposed use of proceeds.

Nothing is set in stone regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal until we gather additional community feedback. We sincerely mean that. We do not want to pursue another tax proposal if there is not going to be strong county-wide support from our residents.

**You have the final word. Always.**

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY

**Thank you for participating in this important Public Opinion Survey.** The results of this survey will play an important role in whether or not a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax is pursued this fall and the composition of that proposal, if pursued. Please review the following questions, review with other members of your household, and complete the survey. A self-addressed, postage-paid envelope is enclosed for your convenience. **All responses are anonymous. The results will be independently tallied and reported by a third-party firm. Please complete and return the survey by April 22, 2016. All surveys must be returned in the postage-paid reply envelope provided. Thank you for participating.**

## PLEASE REVIEW "JUST THE FACTS" DOCUMENT BEFORE COMPLETING SURVEY

**Q1.** Before receiving the enclosed information, how much had you read, seen or heard about Park County considering placing a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax on the November 2016 ballot? **(Please check one)**

- A lot  
 Some  
 Hardly anything  
 Nothing at all

**Q2.** Many residents are not familiar with the ins and outs of the 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax, including how the tax is calculated, the types of purchases that are exempt and the percentage of revenues that go back to local governments. Before receiving the enclosed information, how familiar were you with the details of this tax? **(Please check one)**

- A lot  
 Some  
 A Little  
 Nothing at all

**Q3.** There are a number of projects that the proposed 1% General Purpose Tax would address (as further detailed in the enclosed Fact Sheet). On a scale of 1 to 5, what priority should be placed on funding each of the following projects with a 1% General Purpose Tax? **(Please circle one number next to each item):**

	Low Priority	←————→			High Priority
a) Repairing Park County's bridges that are in the greatest need of improvements.	1	2	3	4	5
b) Repairing or replacing roadways in Park County to extend their useful life and enhance vehicle safety.	1	2	3	4	5
c) Constructing a water storage tank off of Beacon Hill in Cody to improve fire protection, water storage and water system maintenance.	1	2	3	4	5
d) Upgrading Cody's wastewater lagoon system, parts of the existing storm drainage system, and irrigation system improvements.	1	2	3	4	5
e) Completing ADA ramp projects throughout Cody to improve accessibility for persons with disabilities.	1	2	3	4	5
f) Reconstructing Beacon Hill Road and a section of Sheridan Avenue, as well as 29th Street curb, gutter and sidewalk improvements in Cody.	1	2	3	4	5
g) Improvements in Powell along Absaroka Street (from Third to Seventh), including rebuilding the water line; street widening; storm drains; curb, gutter and sidewalks; trees; and ADA projects.	1	2	3	4	5
h) Storm drainage improvements along Division Street in Powell—from Avenue E to Seventh Street—including ADA ramps at corners, as well as improvements to Grand Street (overlay), widening of A,B,C and D avenues, and repaving of Seventh Street (from Bernard to Division).	1	2	3	4	5
i) Water, sewer, street and solid waste management projects in Meeteetse, including 65-year-old water distribution line replacement along Hwy 290, water treatment plant and tank improvements, fire hydrant upgrades, pump station rehabilitation, storm drain and street chip sealing.	1	2	3	4	5

A+B lack specifics

**Q4.** Some residents would like to see a 1% General Purpose Tax fund non-infrastructure projects. On a scale of 1 to 5, what priority should be placed on funding each of the following projects with a 1% General Purpose Tax? **(Please circle one number next to each item):**

	Low Priority	←————→			High Priority
a) Recreation-related projects like bike paths, ball fields, horse trails and skate parks.	1	2	3	4	5
b) Funding for community groups and organizations like senior citizen centers, food banks and Boys and Girls Clubs.	1	2	3	4	5
c) Endowments to go toward operating costs of swimming pools, recreation centers and similar facilities.	1	2	3	4	5

**Q5.** Following are some arguments people have made in favor of Park County's 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All Convincing" and 5 being "Very Convincing", how would you rate each of the following arguments as a reason to vote IN FAVOR of Park County's proposed 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

Not At All Convincing ←————→ Very Convincing

- a) With the State of Wyoming cutting funding to Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse, revenues from a 1% General Purpose Tax are more important than ever to address high priority street, bridge, water, sewer and other infrastructure projects. 1 2 3 4 5
- b) Unlike the existing 4% Sales & Use Tax, in which local governments get to keep only 30% of the tax revenues, local governments get to keep 99% of the 1% General Purpose Tax revenues. This is an important reason why 21 of 23 counties in Wyoming have approved the 1% General Purpose Tax. 1 2 3 4 5
- c) The 1% General Purpose Tax would have a four-year renewal election in Park County. The tax would have to be approved by voters every four years for it to continue. 1 2 3 4 5
- d) Revenues from a voter-approved 1% General Purpose Tax would be distributed based on size of population. For example, 43% of the tax revenues would go to Park County, 34% to Cody, 22% to Powell and 1% to Meeteetse. 1 2 3 4 5
- e) There are 49 exemptions from the 1% General Purpose Tax, according to State Statute 39-15-105, including real estate purchases, food, fuel, fertilizer, livestock, feed and farm equipment. 1 2 3 4 5
- f) Revenue from a voter-approved 1% General Purpose Tax would include sales tax generated inside Yellowstone National Park. In fact, the Wyoming Department of Revenue estimates that about 30% of the 1% General Purpose Tax in Park County would be paid by travelers from outside Park County. 1 2 3 4 5
- g) For a \$10 purchase, the tax is an additional 10 cents. For a \$100 purchase, the tax is an additional \$1.00. Many residents consider this a reasonable amount to pay to protect critical infrastructure, property values and our ability to attract and retain local businesses in Park County. 1 2 3 4 5

**Q6.** Following are some arguments people have made against Park County's 1% General Purpose Tax proposal. On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All Convincing" and 5 being "Very Convincing", how would you rate each of the following arguments as a reason to vote AGAINST Park County's proposed 1% General Purpose Tax? (Please circle one number next to each item):

Not At All Convincing ←————→ Very Convincing

- a) The State already has in place a 4% sales tax. Adding another 1% sales and use tax is a 25% increase. That's too much of a tax burden. 1 2 3 4 5
- b) With the oil and gas industry struggling statewide, now is not the time to be increasing taxes. 1 2 3 4 5
- c) Park County, Cody, Powell and Meeteetse should be setting aside existing tax dollars to tackle large infrastructure projects, rather than raising taxes. 1 2 3 4 5
- d) Local retailers could be negatively impacted from a 1% sales and use tax increase as a result of fewer purchases being made within Park County. 1 2 3 4 5
- e) The 1% General Purpose Tax will cost the average household in Park County an additional \$80 per year. That amount of a tax increase will likely be a hardship for many low-income families and seniors on a fixed income. 1 2 3 4 5
- f) While voters in Park County would have the opportunity to vote on the 1% General Purpose Tax after it has been in place for four years, it's unlikely that it will be voted down once it's in place. Voters in other counties keep voting the tax in every four years. 1 2 3 4 5
- g) It makes more sense for Park County to pursue a 1% Specific Purpose Tax rather than a 1% General Purpose Tax. The 1% Specific Purpose Tax goes away once the specific projects are fully funded. (Refer to Fact Sheet for additional details regarding the difference between these two taxes.) 1 2 3 4 5

Survey continued on back ▶

# PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY *continued from inside*

**Q7.** If an election were held today, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) a 1% General Purpose Sales & Use Tax within Park County for infrastructure and infrastructure maintenance including, but not limited to, roads, streets, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers, bridges, water, sewer and electrical projects. **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes  
 Probably Yes  
 Probably No  
 Definitely No  
 Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q8.** What is your main concern, if any, regarding the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal?  
See enclosure

**Q9.** Rather than a full one (1) percent sales and use tax, if Park County pursued a 1/2 of 1 percent General Purpose Sales & Use Tax and consequently reduced the number of infrastructure projects that it addressed, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) this proposal? **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes  
 Probably Yes  
 Probably No  
 Definitely No  
 Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q10.** If Park County pursued a 1% "Specific Purpose" Sales & Use Tax—rather than a 1% "General Purpose" Sales & Use Tax—to fund infrastructure and infrastructure maintenance including, but not limited to, roads, streets, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers, bridges, water, sewer and electrical projects, would you vote "Yes" (in favor) or "No" (to oppose) this proposal? (Refer to Fact Sheet for additional details regarding the difference between these two taxes.) **(Please check one)**

- Definitely Yes  
 Probably Yes  
 Probably No  
 Definitely No  
 Don't Know/No Opinion

**Q11.** What more would you like to know, or what question(s) would you like answered, about the proposed 1% General Purpose Tax?

More cost/benefit detail on the infrastructure improvement projects proposed

**Q12.** What other community improvement projects, if any, should be included as part of the 1% General Purpose Tax proposal if pursued this fall?

I defer to our qualified public servants and engineers

**Q13.** How long have you been a resident in the area? **(Please check one)**

- 0-5 Years  
 6-10 Years  
 11-20 Years  
 More Than 20 Years

**Q14.** What is your zip code? 82414

**Q15.** Do you live in town or out of town?

**(Please check one)**

- In Town (Inside the Incorporated Municipality)  
 Out of Town (Outside the Incorporated Municipality)  
 Don't Know / Not Sure

**Q16.** What is your age? **(Please check one)**

- 18-24 Years  
 25-34 Years  
 35-44 Years  
 45-54  
 55-64  
 Age 65 or Older

**Thank you for participating!**

**If you have questions about this survey, please contact:**

**City of Cody**  
Mayor & Council  
1338 Rumsey, PO Box 2200  
Cody, WY 82414-3713  
Phone: (307) 527-7511  
Fax: (307) 527-6532  
mayor@cityofcody.com  
www.cityofcody-wy.gov

**City of Powell**  
Mayor & Council  
270 N Clark St.  
Powell, Wyoming 82435  
Phone: (307) 754-5106  
admin@cityofpowell.com  
www.cityofpowell.com

**Town of Meeteetse**  
Mayor & Council  
2044 State Street, PO Box 38  
Meeteetse, Wyoming 82433  
Phone: 307-868-2278  
Fax: 307-868-2608  
meeteetse@tctwest.net  
www.townofmeeteetse.org

**Park County Commissioners**  
Park County Courthouse  
1002 Sheridan Ave.  
Cody, WY 82414  
Toll Free: 1(800)786-2844 ext 8510  
Fax: (307) 527-8515  
sromero@parkcounty.us  
www.parkcounty.us

## Q 8 REPLY

It doesn't adequately address the availability of seasonal tourists, non-resident sportsmen and visitors to Park County, many from resident locations with 8-9 percent sales taxes, as sources for larger increases in sales tax revenue.

Think outside the box. For example: Suppose proof-carryng Park County residents were only subject to the current 4% sales tax the entire year. However, seasonal tourists, non-resident sportsmen, and visitors were charged a higher sales tax rate, possibly 6-7%, or more. Would existing law permit this? Could it be effectively administered? Should the Park County "non-resident" sales tax be seasonal only? etc. etc. etc.

OR --- Might Park County and the cities be better served by a county only VAT applied to all or some of the state of Wyoming sales tax exemptions?

If existing tax laws need to be changed - - then Park County should lead the way.

DECLARATION OF INTEREST

I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that I am not a member of any political party, organization, or society, and that I have no financial interest in any of the parties mentioned herein.

Witness my hand and seal this 1st day of June, 1917.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public for the State of New York

\_\_\_\_\_  
My commission expires this 1st day of June, 1917.